

## Miscellaneous Topics

John W. Whittlesey, 58 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 543 (2008), Note PRIVATE JUDGES, PUBLIC JURIES: THE OHIO LEGISLATURE SHOULD REWRITE R.C. SECTION 2701.10 TO EXPLICITLY AUTHORIZE PRIVATE JUDGES TO CONDUCT JURY TRIALS

Amanda R. Lusk, 46 Duq. L. Rev. 679 (2008), Recent Decisions PROSECUTORS ARE PERMITTED TO DISPLAY ADMISSIBLE WEAPONS TO JURIES DURING OPENING STATEMENTS IN PENNSYLVANIA: COMMONWEALTH v. PARKER

Lisa Dufraimont, 53 McGill L.J. 199 (2008), Article EVIDENCE LAW AND THE JURY: A REASSESSMENT

Amy Nicoletti, 46 Duq. L. Rev. 641 (2008), Recent Decisions PENNSYLVANIA'S COMMON LAW AND THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION PROVIDE MEMBERS OF THE PRESS WITH A RIGHT OF ACCESS TO NAMES BUT NOT ADDRESSES OF JURORS IMPANELED IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL: COMMONWEALTH v. LONG

John W. Clark, III, Roger Enriquez, and Jimmy J. Williams, 44 No. 6 Crim. Law Bulletin 3 (Nov.-Dec. 2008), JUROR STRESS: AN EXAMINATION OF A JURISPRUDENCE EPIDEMIC

Patricia Lee Refo, 36 Stetson L. Rev. 821 (2007), Center for Excellence in Advocacy Symposium A ROADMAP FOR TRIALS: THE ETHICAL TREATMENT OF JURORS

Warren Moise, 18 S.C. Law. 12 (January 2007), Column WHAT JUDGES CAN TELL US ABOUT JURIES . . . AND OURSELVES!

Sarah N. Conde, 19 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 643 (2006), Current Development 2005-2006 CAPOTE IN THE JURY BOX: ANALYZING THE ETHICS OF JURORS WRITING BOOKS

Denise Jefferson Casper, 50 B. B.J. 31 (May/June 2006), Department THOUGHTS FROM JUROR #13

David N. Averyt, 57 Ala. L. Rev. 853 (2006), Commentary PAYING FORMER JURORS FOR CONSULTATION ON A RETRIAL: SUSPECT TACTIC OF GOOD LAWYERING?

Daniel H. Erskine, 39 Akron L. Rev. 701 (2006), General Article AN ANALYSIS OF THE LEGALITY OF TELEVISION CAMERAS BROADCASTING JUROR DELIBERATIONS IN A CRIMINAL CASE

Ezra Friedman and Abraham L. Wickelgren, 22 J.L. Econ. & Org. 70 (2006), BAYESIAN JURIES AND THE LIMITS TO DETERRENCE

Robert J. MacCoun, 55 DePaul L. Rev. 539 (2006), Symposium Who Feels Their Pain? The Challenge of Noneconomic Damages in Civil Litigation MEDIA REPORTING OF JURY VERDICTS: IS THE TAIL (OF THE DISTRIBUTION) WAGGING THE DOG?

Marco Wan, 12 Tex. Wesleyan L. Rev. 417 (2005), Symposium TAKING IAN WATT TO COURT, OR HOW DO JURORS READ STORIES?

Catherine T. Struve, 37 Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev. 659 (2006), Article CONSTITUTIONAL DECISION RULES FOR JURIES

S. Brannon Latimer, 59 SMU L. Rev. 395 (2006), Casenote NOTE, DUE PROCESS—HABEAS REVIEW AND OUTSIDE INFLUENCES ON THE JURY—THE NINTH CIRCUIT HOLDS THAT BUTTONS DEPICTING THE VICTIM'S PHOTO, WORN BY IMMEDIATE FAMILY DURING MURDER TRIAL, POSE AN UNACCEPTABLE RISK OF IMPERMISSIBLE INFLUENCE ON THE JURY UNDER CLEARLY ESTABLISHED LAW

Rose Jade, 29 Champion 10 (June 2005), Cover Story PRISON JURY TRIALS AND HOW TO FIGHT THEM

Brian C. Kalt, 80 Wash. L. Rev. 271 (2005) Articles CROSSING EIGHT MILE: JURIES OF THE VICINAGE AND COUNTY-LINE CRIMINAL BUFFER STATUTES

Jon Bruschke, 47 Orange County Law. 52 (Sept. 2005), Special Feature: Constitutional Jurisprudence PRETRIAL PUBLICITY: THE INVISIBLE ELEPHANT IN THE MIST Does the Press Truly Influence Juries?

Brian H. Bornstein, 32 Fla. St. U. L. Rev. 443 (2005), Symposium JURORS OF THE ABSURD? THE ROLE OF CONSEQUENTIALITY IN JURY

Judge Eden Elizabeth Hifo, 9 Haw. B.J. 4 (May 2005), Feature ADR-ADVISORY JURY TRIALS

Monica K. Miller, Brian H. Bornstein, 30 T. Marshall L. Rev. 237 (2004), Article JUROR STRESS: CAUSES AND INTERVENTIONS

Sarah A Zawada, 89 Marq. L. Rev. 179 (2005), Comment PROHIBITING JURORS FROM WORKING AS TRIAL CONSULTANTS IN RETRIALS: A CAREFUL BALANCING ACT BETWEEN THE FIRST AND SIXTH AMENDMENTS

Matthew Tulchin, 13 J.L. & Pol'y 425 (2005), Note and Comment AN ANALYSIS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE JURY'S ROLE IN A NEW YORK CRIMINAL TRIAL.

Martin D. Beirne and Scott D. Marrs, 51 Fed. Lawyer 23 (2004), JUDICIAL CONTROL OVER QUESTIONABLE JURY VERDICTS: HISTORICAL UNDERPINNINGS OF AN AGE-OLD PRACTICE

David S. Casey Jr., 39 Trial 9 (August 2003), Department FREE SPEECH AND THE JURY TRIAL

William M. Carter, Jr., 68 Mo. L. Rev. 649 (2003), Article "TRUST ME, I'M A JUDGE": WHY BINDING JUDICIAL NOTICE OF JURISDICTIONAL FACTS VIOLATES THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

Micah Keating, 55 Fla. L. Rev. 743 (2003), Case Comment CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: PRESERVING JURY DETERMINATIONS IN AN EIGHT AMENDMENT ANALYSIS

Dee McAree, 25 Nat'l. L. J. (Dec 9, 2002), JURORS' I.D.S TO BE SEALED IN MISSOURI; SECRECY GROWS MORE COMMON.

John D. Jackson, 50 Am. J. Comp. L. 477 (2002), Article MAKING JURIES ACCOUNTABLE

Paul H. Edelman, 31 J. Legal Stud. 327 (2002), ON LEGAL INTERPRETATIONS OF THE CONDORCET JURY THEOREM

Karen Monsen, 21 Rev. Litig. 285 (2002), Article PRIVACY FOR PROSPECTIVE JURORS AT WHAT PRICE? DISTINGUISHING PRIVACY RIGHTS FROM PRIVACY INTERESTS; RETHINKING PROCEDURES TO PROTECT PRIVACY IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Stephan Landsman, 70 U. Cin. L. Rev. 873 (2002), Article APPELLATE COURTS AND CIVIL JURIES

Christopher Johns, 38 Ariz. Att'y 16 (Feb. 2002), Feature SUBSTITUTE JURORS: THE WEAKEST LINK

Maxwell L. Stearns, 3 Theoretical Inquiries L. 125 (2002), Economic Analysis of Constitutional Law THE CONDORCET JURY THEOREM AND JUDICIAL DECISIONMAKING: A REPLY TO SAUL LEVMORE

Darryl K. Brown, 21 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 25 (2002), The Jury's Role in Administering Justice in the United States JUDICIAL INSTRUCTIONS, DEFENDANT CULPABILITY, AND JURY INTERPRETATION OF LAW

Karl Moltzen, 35 J. Marshall L. Rev. 45 (2001), Articles THE JURY POLL AND A DISSENTING JUROR: WHEN A JUROR IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL DISAVOWS THEIR VERDICT IN OPEN COURT

Erica Summer, 15 St. John's J. Legal Comment. 353 (2001), Note POST-TRIAL JURY PAYOFFS: A JURY TAMPERING LOOPHOLE

John E. Nowak, 34 U. Rich. L. Rev. 1213 (2001), Article JURY TRIALS AND FIRST AMENDMENT VALUES IN

"CYBER WORLD"

Steven Alan Childress, 54 SMU L. Rev. 1739 (2001), Commentary TAKING JURY VERDICTS SERIOUSLY

Michelle L. Hartmann, 54 SMU L. Rev. 1827 (2001), Article IS IT A SHORT TRIP BACK TO MANOR FARM? A STUDY OF JUDICIAL ATTITUDES AND BEHAVIORS CONCERNING THE CIVIL JURY SYSTEM

Dana B. Taschner, 23 L.A. Law. 68 (Oct. 2000), Column THE APPEAL OF BIG JURY VERDICTS Jurors Are Sending A Warning To Corporations, But Large Awards Are Routinely Reduced

Donald F. Paine, 36 Tenn. B.J. 17 (Sept. 2000), Departments IMPEACHING JURY VERDICTS

Steven D. Zansberg, 17 WTR Comm. Law. 11 (2000), THE PUBLIC'S RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JUROR INFORMATION LOSES MORE GROUND