

Innovations / Jury Reforms

Juror Questioning of Witnesses

Shari Seidman Diamond, Mary R. Rose, Beth Murphy, and Sven Smith, 59 Vand. L. Rev. 1927 (2006), Symposium JUROR QUESTIONS DURING TRIAL: A WINDOW INTO JUROR THINKING

Terry Carter, 6 A.B.A. J. E-Report 4 (February 16, 2007), The National Pulse A PEEK INTO THE JURY ROOM Study Shows How Jurors Use Questions and Judge Lawyers

Shari Seidman Diamond, 78 N.Y. St. B.J. 23 (October 2006), The Latest in Juries JUROR QUESTIONS AT TRIAL

Leland P. Anderson & Melinda Taylor, 33 Colo. Lawyer 39 (July 2004), JURY REFORM IN THE STATE COURT SYSTEM

Robert Augustus Harper and Michael Robert Ufferman, 78 Fla. B.J. 8 (Feb. 2004), Feature JURY QUESTIONS IN CRIMINAL CASES Neutral Arbiters or Active Interrogators?

John T. Wooldridge, 41 Hous. Law. 24 (August 2003), Feature JURY INTERROGATION OF WITNESSES

Sarah E. West, 38 Tulsa L. Rev. 529 (2003), Notes & Comments "THE BLINDFOLD ON JUSTICE IS NOT A GAG": THE CASE FOR ALLOWING CONTROLLED QUESTIONING OF WITNESSES BY JURORS

Nicole L. Mott, 78 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1099 (2003), Symposium: The Jury at a Crossroad: The American Experience THE CURRENT DEBATE ON JUROR QUESTIONS: "TO ASK OR NOT TO ASK, THAT IS THE QUESTION"

Kirsten Debarba, 55 Vand. L. Rev. 1521 (2002), Note MAINTAINING THE ADVERSARIAL SYSTEM: THE PRACTICE OF ALLOWING JURORS TO QUESTION WITNESSES DURING TRIAL

Raymond J. Brassard, 46 B. B.J. 8 (April 2002), Department JUROR QUESTIONS AND OTHER TRIAL INNOVATIONS: SOME PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

Joshua H. Tucker, 25 Hamline L. Rev. 517 (2002), Casenotes THE IMPARTIALITY QUESTION: SHOULD JUROR WITNESS QUESTIONING BE UPHeld UNDER STATE V. COSTELLO, 620 N.W.2D 924 (MINN. CT. APP. 2001)?

Eric Neyman, 86 Mass. L. Rev. 171 (2002), Case & Statute Comment CRIMINAL LAW: EXPANDING THE PRACTICE OF JUROR QUESTIONING OF WITNESSES Commonwealth v. Britto, 433 Mass. 596 (2001)

Peter B. Krupp, 45 B. B.J. 12 (Oct. 2001), WHEN JURORS SPEAK: A PRACTICAL GUIDE TO JURORS QUESTIONING WITNESSES IN MASSACHUSETTS

Kara Lundy, 85 Minn. L. Rev. 2007 (2001), Note JUROR QUESTIONING OF WITNESSES: QUESTIONING THE UNITED STATES CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Laurie Forbes Neff, 28 Pepp. L. Rev. 437 (2001), Comment THE PROPRIETY OF JURY QUESTIONING: A REMEDY FOR PERCEIVED HARMLESS ERROR

Emma Cano, 32 Tex. Tech L. Rev. 1013 (2001), Comment SPEAKING OUT: IS TEXAS INHIBITING THE SEARCH FOR TRUTH BY PROHIBITING JUROR QUESTIONING OF WITNESSES IN CRIMINAL CASES?

Leslie Miller-Stover, 102 W. Va. L. Rev. 869 (2000), Student Works EMPLOYING COMMON SENSE IN WEST VIRGINIA TRIAL COURTS: ENCOURAGING JUROR NOTE-TAKING AND THE QUESTIONING OF WITNESSES BY JURORS

A. Barry Cappello and G. James Strenio, 36 Trial 44 (June 2000), Law Office Management JUROR QUESTIONING: THE VERDICT IS IN

Other Issues

ACBA Staff, 10 Lawyers J. 7 (August 29, 2008), PA. SUPREME COURT AMENDS JURY NOTE-TAKING RULE

Kirk W. Schuler, 28 N. Ill. U. L. Rev. 453 (2008), Symposium: The Modern American Jury IN THE VANGUARD OF THE AMERICAN JURY: A CASE STUDY OF JURY INNOVATIONS IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

Randall T. Shepard, 117 Yale L.J. Pocket Part 166 (March 18, 2008), STATE COURT REFORM OF THE AMERICAN JURY

Elissa Krauss and Susan J. Macpherson, 44 Trial 32 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors TOOLS TO KEEP JURORS ENGAGED The Era of the Passive Juror is Over

The American Association of Justice, 44 Trial 42 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors THE JURY, ALIVE AND WELL Three Decades of Study by Two of the Country's Leading Jury Researchers Have Revealed a Thriving Jury System. Here's an Inside Look at What They Found

Valerie P. Hans, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 19 (2007), Symposium JUDGES, JURIES, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

William F.B. Vodrey, 2 Ohio Tort L.J. 25 (2007), MAKING BETTER USE OF CIVIL JURIES

Monica K. Miller and Michelle N. Kazmar, 30 Hamline L. Rev. 285 (2007), PSYCHOLOGY RESEARCH AND PUBLIC OPINION DO NOT SUPPORT PROPOSED CHANGES TO THE JURY SYSTEM

Michael B. Mushlin, 25 Yale L. & Pol'y Rev. 239 (2007), Article BOUND AND GAGGED: THE PECULIAR PREDICAMENT OF PROFESSIONAL JURORS

B. Michael Dann, Valerie P. Hans, and David H. Kaye, 31 Champion 26 (April 2007), Feature CAN JURY TRIAL INNOVATIONS IMPROVE JUROR UNDERSTANDING OF DNA EVIDENCE?

Nancy S. Marder, 38 U. Tol. L. Rev. 239 (2006), Symposium on Enhancing Worldwide Understanding through Online Dispute Resolution CYBERJURIES: A NEW ROLE AS ONLINE MOCK JURIES

Jeffrey A. Parness, 94 Ill. B.J. 608 (2006), Article REFORMING THE CIVIL JURY SYSTEM IN ILLINOIS: THE 2006 ALLERTON CONFERENCE

B. Michael Dann, Valerie P. Hans, and David H. Kaye, 40 Prosecutor 20 (Nov./Dec. 2006), Feature CAN JURY TRIAL INNOVATIONS IMPROVE JUROR UNDERSTANDING OF DNA EVIDENCE?

Stephan Landsman, 78 N.Y. St. B.J. 21 (October 2006), The Latest in Juries AN EXPERIMENT IN LARGER JURIES IN CIVIL TRIALS

Chris Connelly, 78 N.Y. St. B.J. 19 (October 2006), The Latest in Juries JURY INNOVATION IN PRACTICE

Elissa Krauss, 78 N.Y. St. B.J. 16 (October 2006), The Latest in Juries WHAT'S HAPPENING AROUND THE COUNTRY THAT'S OF INTEREST TO NEW YORK LAWYERS AND JUDGES?

Lynn A. Marks and Shira J. Goodman, 8 Lawyers J. 6 (July 2006), From the ACBA PME PROVIDES UPDATE ON JURY DUTY

Kory A. Longhofer, 115 Yale L. J. 1823 (2006), Comment UNNACOUNTABLE AT THE FOUNDING: THE ORIGINALIST CASE FOR ANONYMOUS JURIES

Patricia Lee Refo and Mark Curriden, 23 Del. Law. 10 (Fall 2005), Feature REVITALIZING THE JURY SYSTEM

Valerie P. Hans, B. Michael Dann, David H. Kaye, Erin J. Farley, and Stephanie Albertson, 23 Del. Law. 34 (Fall 2005), Feature TESTING JURY REFORMS

Torrence Lewis, 58 Fed. Comm. L.J. 195 (2006), Comment TOWARD A LIMITED RIGHT OF ACCESS TO JURY DELIBERATIONS

Cory Spiller, 83 Denv. U. L. Rev. 613 (2005), Colorado Supreme Court Survey PEOPLE V. HARLAN: THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT TAKES A STEP TOWARD ELIMINATING RELIGIOUS INFLUENCE ON JURIES

Arthur L. Burnett, Sr., 20 Crim. Just. 32 (Spring 2005) Feature JURY REFORM FOR THE 21ST CENTURY: A JUDGE'S PERSPECTIVE

Randall T. Shepard, 38 Ind. L. Rev. 859 (2005), Survey JURY TRIALS AREN'T WHAT THEY USED TO BE

Todd Lochner, 26 Just. Sys. J. 226 (2005), Legal Note IMPANELING MULTIPLE JURIES IN CIVIL SUITS

Lin S. Lilly, 41 Trial 64 (July 2005), Trial Technique LET JURORS SPEAK THE TRUTH, IN WRITING Some jurors aren't fully candid during oral voir dire. When you hand them a supplemental juror questionnaire and a pen, many will feel free to open up

Arthur L. Burnett, Sr., 20 Crim. Just. 26 (2005), Feature ABOLISH PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES Reform Juries to Promote Impartiality

W. Dudley McCarter, 61 J. Mo. B. 254 (Sept.-Oct. 2005), CIVIL JURY REFORM: IS MISSOURI READY FOR CHANGES?

Elissa Kraus, 77 N.Y. St. B.J. 22 (May 2005), JURY TRIAL INNOVATIONS IN NEW YORK STATE Improving Jury Trials by Improving Jurors' Comprehension and Participation.

Myrna Smith, Robert Phillip Ward, 17 D.C. B. Assoc. Brief 20 (Oct. 2004), Lead Article MEDIA EXPOITATION OF THE SENSATIONAL TRIAL: HOW TO PRESERVE AND PROTECT THE NON-SEQUESTERED JURY—"A MODEST PROPOSAL."

Ted Stellwag, 27 Pa. Law. 15 (May/June 2005), Feature THE VERDICT ON JURIES Juries Are Critical to Our System of Justice. A Look At What's Being Done to Help the Jury System Work More Effectively.

Valerie Jablow, 41 Trial 19 (March 2005), News and Trend ABA PROPOSES CHANGES TO JURY SYSTEM; PLAINTIFF LAWYERS VOICE CONCERNS

Scott Donaldson, 66 Alabama Lawyer 61 (Jan. 2005), IS IT TIME FOR THE "PLAIN ENGLISH" JURY CHARGE?

Terry Carter, 3 No. 48 ABA J. E-Report 6 (2004), JURY TRIAL: GREY, SANDRA DAY O'CONNOR KICK OFF ABA PROGRAM FOR CHANGES IN JURY SYSTEM.

John P. Wesley, 30 Vt Bar J. 17 (Fall 2004), View from the Bench, JURY TRIAL INNOVATIONS: DARING TO IMPROVE WHAT WE ARE SWORN TO REVERE

Steve Seidenberg, 3 A.B.A. J. E-Rep. 6. (Aug. 20, 2004) JUROR SERVICES WEB SITES EASE THE LOAD ON THOSE CALLED AND THEIR COUNTIES

Mark A. Behrens and Cary Silverman, 42 Brandeis L. J. 1 (2003), Article FIVE WAYS THE KENTUCKY LEGISLATURE CAN IMPROVE JURY SERVICE

Mark A. Behrens and M. Kevin Underhill, 40 Cal. W. L. Rev. 135 (2003), Essay A CALL FOR JURY PATRIOTISM: WHY THE JURY SYSTEM MUST BE IMPROVED FOR CALIFORNIANS CALLED TO SERVE

J. Walter Sinclair, Mark A. Behrens and Cary Silverman, 46 Advocate (Idaho) 23 (Oct. 2003), Feature Article MAKING JURY DUTY A LITTLE FRIENDLIER

René E. Thorne, 51 La. B.J. 182 (Oct./Nov. 2003), Feature LOUISIANA UNDERTAKES JURY REFORM

Neil P. Cohen, 39 Tenn. B.J. 16 (June 2003), Article BETTER, HAPPIER JURIES Tennessee Jury Reform Respects Jurors' Time and Provides Them More Resources to do the Job

Leonard Post, 25 Nat'l. L. J. (Feb 24, 2003), COLORADO JURORS MAY GET RIGHT TO QUIZ WITNESSES; ATTORNEYS ON BOTH SIDES SAY THE MOVE WOULD HELP THE PROSECUTION.

Shari Seidman Diamond and Beth Murphy, 45 Ariz. L. Rev. 1 (2003), Articles JUROR DISCUSSIONS DURING CIVIL TRIALS: STUDYING AN ARIZONA INNOVATION

Jacklyn E. Bruce, Trevor Hayes and Alan Lapter, 21 SPG Comm. Law. 13 (2003), NEWSGATHERING, JURY REFORM, AND OTHER HOT ISSUES DOMINATE SCOTTSDALE MEETING

Al Harvey, 39 Tenn. B.J. 3 (April 2003), Department TRIAL BY JURY IS STILL THE 'GOLD STANDARD' Jury Improvement Project Helps Jurors do their Jobs Better

David A. Anderson, 174 Mil. L. Rev. 92 (2002), Article LET JURORS TALK: AUTHORIZING PRE-DELIBERATION DISCUSSION OF THE EVIDENCE DURING TRIAL

Vern Winters, 49 Fed. Law. 4 (June 2002), Column BETTER TOOLS FOR BETTER JURIES

Sara Hoffman Jurand, 38 Trial 85 (May 2002), News & Trends COURT CONSIDERS PROPOSALS TO IMPROVE FLORIDA JURY SYSTEM

Sara Hoffman Jurand, 38 Trial 82 (April 2002), News & Trends NEW CENTER TO FOCUS ON IMPROVING JURY SYSTEM

William F. Harvey, 45 Res Gestae 36 (Feb. 2002), Department JUROR'S NOTE TAKING, USE OF THOSE NOTES, OTHER HOLDINGS

10 Nev. Law. 39 (Jan. 2002), Feature JURY IMPROVEMENT COMMISSION The Nevada Supreme Court Wants your Opinion

Richard O. Lempert, 21 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 15 (2002), The Jury's Role in Administering Justice in the United States NARRATIVE RELEVANCE, IMAGINED JURIES, AND A SUPREME COURT INSPIRED AGENDA FOR JURY RESEARCH

Valerie P. Hans, 21 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 85 (2002), The Jury's Role in Administering Justice in the United States U.S. JURY REFORM: THE ACTIVE JURY AND THE ADVERSARIAL IDEAL

Warren R. McGraw, 2001 W. Va. Law. 8 (Sept. 2001), Department "IMPROVING THE JUROR'S EXPERIENCE"

Irwin A. Horowitz and Lynne Forster Lee., 25 L. & Hum. Beh. 373 (2001), THE EFFECTS OF NOTE-TAKING AND TRIAL TRANSCRIPT ACCESS ON MOCK JURY DECISIONS IN A COMPLEX CIVIL TRIAL.

37 Tenn. B.J. 6 (Aug. 2001), News & Information JURY IMPROVEMENT PILOT PROJECT WILL STUDY WAYS TO HELP JURY SERVICE BE MORE USER-FRIENDLY

Gregory P. Joseph, 73 N.Y. St. B.J. 14 (June 2001), INNOVATIVE COMPREHENSION INITIATIVES HAVE ENHANCED ABILITY OF JURORS TO MAKE FAIR DECISIONS

Alison Markovitz, 110 Yale L.J. 1493 (2001), Note JURY SECRECY DURING DELIBERATIONS

Tim Eigo, 37 Ariz. Att'y 18 (Feb. 2001), Feature O, PIONEER Michael Dann Shapes Jury Reform for a New Century

R.N. Singh, Charles Turner and David Wierschem, 63 Tex. B.J. 948 (2000), Legal Article REFORMING THE JURY SYSTEM: WHAT DO THE JUDGES THINK?

Roger M. Young, 52 S.C. L. Rev. 135 (2000), Article USING SOCIAL SCIENCE TO ASSESS THE NEED FOR JURY REFORM IN SOUTH CAROLINA

Jay E. Grenig, 24 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 93 (2000), Article THE CIVIL JURY IN AMERICA: IMPROVING THE JURY'S UNDERSTANDING OF A CASE

Paula L. Hannaford, Valerie P. Hans and G. Thomas Munsterman. 24 L. & Hum. Beh. 359 (2000), PERMITTING JURY DISCUSSIONS DURING TRIAL: IMPACT OF THE ARIZONA REFORM.

David Williams, 35 Ark. Law. 16 (Summer 2000), Features REALISTIC JURY SERVICE ONE SMALL STEP TOWARDS IMPROVING THE CIVIL JUSTICE SYSTEM

Janet Stidman Eveleth, 33 Md. B.J. 42 (June 2000), Feature WILL JURY REFORMS ATTRACT MORE JURORS?

Jacqueline A. Connor, 67 Def. Couns. J. 186 (2000), Feature LOS ANGELES TRIAL COURTS TEST JURY INNOVATIONS AND FIND THEY ARE EFFECTIVE