

Jury Center Bibliography—Updated August 2011

Benefits of Juries

Robert Wilson, 18 Geo. Mason U. Civ. Rts. L.J. 389 (2008), Article FREE SPEECH V. TRIAL BY JURY: THE ROLE OF THE JURY IN THE APPLICATION OF THE PICKERING TEST

Bias/Competence of Jurors

Molly Armour, 17 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 641 (2008), Comment DAZED AND CONFUSED: THE NEED FOR A LEGISLATIVE SOLUTION TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEM OF JUROR INCOMPREHENSION

Shari Seidman Diamond and Mary R. Rose, 42 Law & Soc'y Rev. 513 (2008), Article JUDGING BIAS: JUROR CONFIDENCE AND JUDICIAL RULINGS ON CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

Janet Bond Arterton, 40 Conn. L. Rev. 1023 (2008), Symposium: Unconscious Discrimination Twenty Years later: Application and Evolution UNCONSCIOUS BIAS AND THE IMPARTIAL JURY

Gregory S. Cusimano and David Wenner, 44 Trial 26 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors REFRAMING THE MODEL The Jury Bias Model has Served as a Useful Tool for More Than a Decade

Valerie P. Hans, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 19 (2007), Symposium JUDGES, JURIES, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

William P. Barnette, 84 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 451 (2007), Comment MA, MA, WHERE'S MY PA? ON YOUR JURY, HA, HA, HA! A CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF IMPLIED BIAS CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

Books on the Jury System

Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, AMERICAN JURIES: THE VERDICT (Prometheus Books, 2007, ISBN-10:1591025885)

David Watt, HELPING JURORS UNDERSTAND (Toronto: Carswell, 2007).

Capital Juries

William Lyons, John M. Scheb, and Kristin A. Wagers, 29 Just. Sys. J. 338 (2008), Lawyer RACE, PROSECUTORS, AND JURIES: THE DEATH PENALTY IN TENNESSEE

Marla Sandys and Adam Trahan, 29 Just. Sys. J. 385 (2008), Juror LIFE QUALIFICATION, AUTOMATIC DEATH PENALTY VOTER STATUS, AND JUROR DECISION MAKING IN CAPITAL CASES

Michael E. Antonio, 29 Just. Sys. J. 396 (2008), Juror STRESS AND THE CAPITAL JURY: HOW MALE AND FEMALE JURORS REACT TO SERVING ON A MURDER TRIAL

Bruce T. Cunningham, Heather L. Rattelade, and Amanda Zimmer, 30 N.C. Cent. L. Rev. 107 (2008), Article RING V. ARIZONA AND CAPITAL PROCEEDINGS: BRAVE3 NEW WORLD OR A REVERSION TO THE OLD WORLD?

Heather Pruss, Maria Sandys, and Adam Trahan, 57 DePaul L. Rev. 679 (2008), Symposium Protecting a National Moral Consensus: Challenges in the Application of Atkins v. Virginia TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE "DIMINISHED CAPACITIES OF THE RETARDED": ARE CAPITAL JURORS UP TO THE TASK?

Andrea D. Lyon, 57 DePaul L. Rev. 701 (2008), Symposium Protecting a National Moral Consensus: Challenges in the Application of Atkins v. Virginia BUT HE DOESN'T LOOK RETARDED: CAPITAL JURY SELECTION FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED CLIENT NOT EXCLUDED AFTER ATKINS V. VIRGINIA

John H. Blume, Sheri Lynn Johnson, and Scott E. Sundby, 36 Hofstra L. Rev. 1035 (2008), Article COMPETENT CAPITAL REPRESENTATION: THE NECESSITY OF KNOWING AND HEEDING WHAT JURORS TELL US ABOUT MITIGATION

Thomas W. Brewer and H. Mitchell Caldwell, 51 How. L.J. 193 (2008), Article DEATH WITHOUT DUE CONSIDERATION?: OVERCOMING BARRIERS TO MITIGATION EVIDENCE BY "WARMING" CAPITAL JURORS TO THE ACCUSED

Benjamin T. Kurtz, 2008 U. Ill. L. Rev. 1071 (2008), Note SUPREME COURT UPHOLDS A MANDATE OF DEATH WHEN THE JURY IS IN EQUIPOISE: CHALLENGED UNDER THE APPRENDI INTERPRETATION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Jeffrey L. Kirchmeier, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 55 (2008), Contributed Article OUR EXISTENTIAL DEATH PENALTY : JUDGES, JURORS, AND TERROR MANAGEMENT

Michael Antonio Brockland, 27 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 235 (2007), Comment SEE NO EVIL, HEAR NO EVIL, SPEAK NO EVIL: AN ARGUMENT FOR A JURY DETERMINATION OF THE ENMUND/TISON CULPABILITY FACTORS IN CAPITAL FELONY MURDER CASES

Susan Heylman, 43 Trial 75 (Dec. 2007), News and Trends NINTH CIRCUIT OKS JURY'S USE OF THE BIBLE IN DEATH PENALTY DELIBERATIONS

Decision Making by Jurors

Cassandra Burke Robertson, 83 Tul. L. Rev. 157 (2008), Article JUDGING JURY VERDICTS

Carol L. Bauss, 50 Orange County Law. 42 (September 2008), Feature GENERATION X AND Y'S INFLUENCE IN THE JURY BOX

Joel D. Lieberman, et al., 14 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 27 (2008), Article GOLD versus PLATINUM: DO JURORS RECOGNIZE THE SUPERIORITY AND LIMITATIONS OF DNA EVIDENCE COMPARED TO OTHER TYPES OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE?

Stephen M. Masterson, 27 Trial Advoc. Q. 7 (Summer 2008), "WITHOUT MEAT, DRINK, FIRE OF CANDLE": WHAT ARE THE LIMTS ON VOIR DIRE AND JURY DELIBERATIONS?

Lorraine Hope, et al., 32 Law & Hum. Behav. 241 (2008), Original Article A THIRD VERDICT OPTION: EXPORING THE IMPACT OF THE NOT PROVEN VERDICT ON MOCK JUROR DECISION MAKING

Deah S. Lawson, et al., 32 Law & Hum. Behav. 137 (2008), Original Article THE EFFECTS OF ACCOMPLICE WITNESSES AND JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS ON JURY DECISION MAKING

Wendy Brickell, 23 Crim. Just. 10 (Summer 2008), Feature IS IT THE CSI EFFECT OR DO WE JUST DISTRUST JURIES?

David Ball, 44 Trial 38 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors MAKING PREPONDERANCE WORK Almost All Jurors Expect You to Prove your Case Beyond a Reasonable Doubt, and You Won't Change Their Minds by Explaining Preponderance. But if You Make Preponderance a Working

Theme Throughout Trial, Jurors will Make Preponderance-Based Decisions About Liability

Elizabeth Aileen Smith, 26 Law & Ineq. 203 (2008), Article DID THEY FORGET TO ZERO THE SCALES?: TO EASE JURY DELIBERATIONS, THE SUPREME COURT CUTS PROTECTION FOR THE MENTALLY ILL IN CLARK v. ARIZONA

Brian H. Bornstein, Douglas J. Sickafoose, and Sid O'Bryant, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 109 (2008), Contribute Article INTUITIONS ABOUT AROUSAL AND EYEWITNESS MEMORY: EFFECTS ON MOCK JURORS' JUDGMENTS

Megan Faulkner, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 163 (2008), Student Article RATIONAL JURY ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES THROUGH NEUROECONOMICS

Shari Seidman Diamond, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 47 (2007), Symposium HOW JURORS DEAL WITH EXPERT TESTIMONY AND HOW JUDGES CAN HELP

Valerie P. Hans, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 19 (2007), Symposium JUDGES, JURIES, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Bradley J.B. Toben, Larry Lyon, James M. Underwood, William D. Underwood, and James E. Wren, 70 Tex. B.J. 958 (2007), Feature TRIAL JUDGES PRONOUNCE THEIR VERDICT ON TEXAS JURIES AND CASES

George C. Thomas, III, 55 Buff. L. Rev. 947 (2007), Essay BIGOTRY, JURY FAILURES, AND THE SUPREME COURTS FEEBLE RESPONSE

Valerie P. Hans, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 579 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men DELIBERATION AND DISSENT : 12 ANGRY MEN VERSUS THE EMPIRICAL REALITY OF JURIES

Jeffrey Abramson, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 591 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men ANGER AT ANGRY JURORS

Robert P. Burns, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 643 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men A JURY BETWEEN FACT AND NORM

Charles D. Weisselberg, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 717 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men GOOD FILM, BAD JURY

David Ray Papke, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 735 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men 12 ANGRY MEN IS NOT AN

ARCHETYPE: REFLECTIONS ON THE JURY IN CONTEMPORARY
POPULAR CULTURE

Foreign and International Aspects

Stephen Landsman and Jing Zhang, 25 UCLA Pac. Basin L.J. 179
(2008), Article A TALE OF TWO JURIES: LAY PARTICIPATION COMES
TO JAPANESE AND CHINESE COURTS

Lisa Dufraimont, 53 McGill L.J. 199 (2008), Article EVIDENCE LAW AND
THE JURY: A REASSESSMENT

Patrick W. Border, 12 Haw. B.J. 27 (August 2008), Feature JURY TRIAL,
KOREAN STYLE

Anna Dobrovolskaia, 9 Asian-Pac. L. & Pol'y 231 (2008), Translation THE
JURY SYSTEM IN PRE-WAR JAPAN: AN ANNOTATED TRANSLATION OF
"THE JURY GUIDEBOOK" (BAISHIN TEBIKI)

Ethan J. Lieb, 5 Ohio St. J. Crim. L. 629 (2008), Commentary A
COMPARISON OF CRIMINAL JURY DECISION RULES IN DEMOCRATIC
COUNTRIES

Leah Ambler, 6 Nw. U. J. Int'l Hum. Rts. 1 (2007), Article THE PEOPLE
DECIDE: THE EFFECT OF THE INTRODUCTION OF THE QUASI-JURY
SYSTEM (SAIBAN-IN SEIDO) ON THE DEATH PENALTY IN JAPAN

Richard O. Lempert, 40 Cornell Int'l L.J. 477 (2007), THE
INTERNATIONALIZATION OF LAY LEGAL DECISION-MAKING: JURY
RESURGENCE AND JURY RESEARCH

Steven C. Thaman, 40 Cornell Int'l L.J. 355 (2007), THE NULLIFICATION
OF THE RUSSIAN JURY: LESSONS FOR JURY-INSPIRED REFORM IN
EURASIA AND BEYOND

Hiroshi Fukurai, 40 Cornell Int'l L.J. 315 (2007), THE REBIRTH OF
JAPAN'S PETIT QUASI-JURY AND GRAND JURY SYSTEMS: A CROSS-
NATIONAL ANALYSIS OF LEGAL CONSCIOUSNESS AND THE LAY
PARTICIPATORY EXPERIENCE IN JAPAN AND THE U.S.

Nancy S. Marder, 86 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 453 (2011), AN INTRODUCTION
TO COMPARATIVE JURY SYSTEMS

Nancy S. Marder, 86 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 537 (2011), TWO WEEKS AT THE
OLD BAILEY: JURY LESSONS FROM ENGLAND

Future Directions of the Jury System

Molly Armour, 17 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 641 (2008), Comment
DAZED AND CONFUSED: THE NEED FOR A LEGISLATIVE SOLUTION
TO THE CONSTITUTIONAL PROBLEM OF JUROR INCOMPREHENSION

Grand Juries (Legal periodical articles since January 2008)

20 Fed. Sent. R. 334 (2008), A FAIRER AND MORE DEMOCRATIC
FEDERAL GRAND JURY SYSTEM

Josi Kennon, 17 S. Cal. Interdisc. L.J. 543 (2008), Note WHEN RIGHTS
COLLIDE: AN EXAMINATION OF THE REPORTER'S PRIVILEGE, GRAND
JURY LEAKS, AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS OF THE
CRIMINAL DEFENDANT

Nicolas James, 110 W. Va. L. Rev. 1191 (2008), Student Work WHAT IS
SO "GRAND" ABOUT THE WEST VIRGINIA GRAND JURY SYSTEM? A
DESPERATE NEED FOR REFORM AFTER THE DUKE LACROSSE RAPE
SCANDAL

Elizabeth G. Serio, 21 Geo. J. Legal Ethics 1011 (2008), Current
Development 2007-2008 DANGEROUS PRECEDENT: THE
CONSEQUENCES OF ALLOWING PROSECUTORIAL DISREGARD OF
GRAND JURY SECRECY

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., 93 Cornell L. Rev. 703 (2008), Article GRAND JURY
DISCRETION AND CONSTITUTIONAL DESIGN

Jon May, 23 Crim. Just. 16 (Spring 2008), Feature ADVISING THE
GRAND JURY WITNESS When Talk is Not Cheap

Kevin J. Washburn, 76 Fordham L. Rev. 2333 (2008), Article
RESTORING THE GRAND JURY

Hiroshi Fukurai, 40 Cornell Int'l L.J. 315 (2007), THE REBIRTH OF
JAPAN'S PETIT QUASI-JURY AND GRAND JURY SYSTEMS: A CROSS-
NATIONAL ANALYSIS OF LEGAL CONSCIOUSNESS AND THE LAY
PARTICIPATORY EXPERIENCE IN JAPAN AND THE U.S.

Peter Meyer, 83 Ind. L.J. 1671 (2008), Notes BALCO, THE STEROIDS
SCANDAL, AND WHAT THE ALREADY FRAGILE SECRECY OF FEDERAL
GRAND JURIES MEANS TO THE DEBATE OVER A POTENTIAL
FEDERAL MEDIA SHIELD LAW

Georgetown Law Journal Thirty-Sixth Annual Review of Criminal Procedure, 36 Geo. L.J. 232 (2007), GRAND JURY

History of the Jury System

Jeffrey Omar Usman, 33 Okla. City U. L. Rev. 1 (2008), Article ANCIENT AND MODERN CHARACTER EVIDENCE: HOW CHARACTER EVIDENCE WAS USED IN ANCIENT ATHENIAN TRIALS, ITS USES IN THE UNITED STATES, AND WHAT THIS MEANS FOR HOW THESE DEMOCRATIC SOCIETIES UNDERSTAND THE ROLE OF JURORS

Elizabeth Dale, 28 N. U. Ill. L. Rev. 503 (2008), Symposium: The Modern American Jury PEOPLE v. COUGHLIN AND CRITICISMS OF THE CRIMINAL JURY IN LATE NINETEENTH-CENTURY CHICAGO

Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, AMERICAN JURIES: THE VERDICT (Prometheus Books, 2007, ISBN-10:1591025885)

Sarah Duckers, 45 Hous. Law. 38 (January/February 2008), Feature THE FIRST WOMAN JUROR IN TEXAS

Innovations/Jury Reforms

Other

ACBA Staff, 10 Lawyers J. 7 (August 29, 2008), PA. SUPREME COURT AMENDS JURY NOTE-TAKING RULE

Kirk W. Schuler, 28 N. Ill. U. L. Rev. 453 (2008), Symposium: The Modern American Jury IN THE VANGUARD OF THE AMERICAN JURY: A CASE STUDY OF JURY INNOVATIONS IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA

Randall T. Shepard, 117 Yale L.J. Pocket Part 166 (March 18, 2008), STATE COURT REFORM OF THE AMERICAN JURY

Elissa Krauss and Susan J. Macpherson, 44 Trial 32 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors TOOLS TO KEEP JURORS ENGAGED The Era of the Passive Juror is Over

The American Association of Justice, 44 Trial 42 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors THE JURY, ALIVE AND WELL Three Decades of Study by Two of the Country's Leading Jury Researchers Have Revealed a Thriving Jury System. Here's an Inside Look at What They Found

Valerie P. Hans, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 19 (2007), Symposium JUDGES, JURIES, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Instructions—How to Improve

John C. Calhoun, Jr. and William A. Waddell, Jr., 43 Ark. Law. 10 (Fall 2008), Feature UNJUST ENRICHMENT: A SUGGESTED APPROACH FOR INSTRUCTING JURIES IN CLAIMS AT LAW

Jack Kenney, 52 Res Gestae 40 (July/August 2008), Department JURY INSTRUCTIONS, DOUBLE ENHANCEMENTS, OTHER HOLDINGS

Thomas Lundy, 32 Champion 58 (March 2008), Column JURY INSTRUCTION CORNER

Jon May, 32 Champion 32 (February 2008), Feature HELPING JURORS UNDERSTAND WHAT INSTRUCTIONS DON'T—THE LAW

Neil Vidmar and Matthew W. Wolfe, 2 Charleston L. Rev. 307 (2008), Symposium Edition FAIRNESS THROUGH GUIDANCE: JURY INSTRUCTION ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES AFTER PHILIP MORRIS V. WILLIAMS

Shiela B. Scheuerman and Anthony J. Franze, 10 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 1147 (2008), Article INSTRUCTING JURIES ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES: DUE PROCESS REVISITED AFTER PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Stephen J. Shapiro, 38 U. Balt. L.F. 27 (2007), Article PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN MARYLAND: RECONCILING FEDERAL LAW, STATE LAW, AND THE PATTERN JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Karen M. Blum, 58 Syracuse L. Rev. 45 (2007), Article SCOTT V. HARRIS: DEATH KNELL FOR DEADLY FORCE POLICIES AND GARNER JURY INSTRUCTIONS?

Miscellaneous Topics

John W. Whittlesey, 58 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 543 (2008), Note PRIVATE JUDGES, PUBLIC JURIES: THE OHIO LEGISLATURE SHOULD REWRITE R.C. SECTION 2701.10 TO EXPLICITLY AUTHORIZE PRIVATE JUDGES TO CONDUCT JURY TRIALS

Amanda R. Lusk, 46 Duq. L. Rev. 679 (2008), Recent Decisions PROSECUTORS ARE PERMITTED TO DISPLAY ADMISSIBLE WEAPONS

TO JURIES DURING OPENING STATEMENTS IN PENNSYLVANIA:
COMMONWEALTH v. PARKER

Lisa Dufraimont, 53 McGill L.J. 199 (2008), Article EVIDENCE LAW AND
THE JURY: A REASSESSMENT

Amy Nicoletti, 46 Duq. L. Rev. 641 (2008), Recent Decisions
PENNSYLVANIA'S COMMON LAW AND THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION PROVIDE MEMBERS OF THE PRESS WITH A RIGHT OF
ACCESS TO NAMES BUT NOT ADDRESSES OF JURORS IMPANELED IN
A CRIMINAL TRIAL: COMMONWEALTH v. LONG

John W. Clark, III, Roger Enriquez, and Jimmy J. Williams, 44 No. 6
Crim. Law Bulletin 3 (Nov.-Dec. 2008), JUROR STRESS: AN
EXAMINATION OF A JURISPRUDENCE EPIDEMIC

Misconduct by Jurors

Edith Greene, Kari Hayman, and Matt Motyl, 14 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L.
194 (2008), Article "SHOULDN'T WE CONSIDER . . ." Jury Discussions
of Forbidden Topics and Effects on Damage Awards

Mistrials

Scott K. Behrendt, 50 Orange County Law. 18 (April 2008), Feature
REOPENING OF CLOSING ARGUMENTS TO BREAK JURY DEADLOCK

Nullification by Juries

Paula L. Hannaford-Agor, 28 N. Ill. U. L. Rev. 407 (2008), Symposium:
The Modern American Jury JUDICIAL NULLIFICATION? JUDICIAL
COMPLIANCE AND NON-COMPLIANCE WITH JURY IMPROVEMENT
EFFORTS

Irwin Horowitz, 28 N. Ill. U. L. Rev. 425 (2008), Symposium: The Modern
American Jury JURY NULLIFICATION: AN EMPIRICAL PERSPECTIVE

Steven C. Thaman, 40 Cornell Int'l L.J. 355 (2007), THE NULLIFICATION
OF THE RUSSIAN JURY: LESSONS FOR JURY-INSPIRED REFORM IN
EURASIA AND BEYOND

Overviews of the Jury System

Randall T. Shepard, 117 Yale L.J. Pocket Part 166 (March 18, 2008),
STATE COURT REFORM OF THE AMERICAN JURY

Valerie P. Hans and Neil Vidmar, AMERICAN JURIES: THE VERDICT (Prometheus Books, 2007, ISBN-10:1591025885)

The American Association of Justice, 44 Trial 42 (March 2008),
Motivating Jurors THE JURY, ALIVE AND WELL Three Decades of Study
by Two of the Country's Leading Jury Researchers Have Revealed a
Thriving Jury System. Here's an Inside Look at What They Found

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., 76 Fordham L. Rev. 2027 (2008), Article
HARMLESS CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR AND THE INSTITUTIONAL
SIGNIFICANCE OF THE JURY

David Ray Papke, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 735 (2007), Symposium: The
50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men 12 ANGRY MEN IS NOT AN
ARCHETYPE: REFLECTIONS ON THE JURY IN CONTEMPORARY
POPULAR CULTURE

Particular Substantive Law Cases Before Juries

Employment

Maureen S. Binetti, 44 Trial 59 (Dec. 2008), Department REACH OUT TO
JURORS IN EMPLOYMENT CASES

Intellectual Property

Daniel P. Sullivan, 7 J. Marshall Rev. Intell. Prop. L. 754 (2008),
Comment MUST THE JURY REACH THE VERDICT? THE
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ELIMINATING JURIES IN PATENT TRIALS BY
CREATING AN ARTICLE 1 TRIBUNAL

Michael T. Nguyen, 59 Hastings L.J. 1257 (2008), Note THE MYTH OF
"LUCKY" PATENT VERDICTS: IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF
APPELLATE REVIEW BY INCORPORATING FUZZY LOGIC IN JURY
VERDICTS

Francine Nesti, 84 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 571 (2007), Comment THE
FEDERAL CIRCUIT: DURING ITS QUARTER-CENTURY EXISTENCE, IT
HAS INCREASINGLY POSITIONED ITSELF AS THE LAST WORD IN
PATENT LITIGATION, AT THE EXPENSE OF UNIFORMITY,
PREDICTABILITY AND COST. WILL THE "SUPER JUROR" LEARN FROM
ITS MISTAKES?

Negligence

Deborah R. Hansler, 13 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 8 (2008), Symposium JURORS IN THE MATERIAL WORLD: PUTTING TORT VERDICTS IN THEIR SOCIAL CONTEXT

Valerie P. Hans, 13 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 39 (2008), Symposium EMPOWERING THE ACTIVE JURY: A GENUINE TORT REFORM

Miles Hutton, 27 Of Counsel 5 (2008), A Roadmap for Defending an Industry FIVE COMMON JUROR MISCONCEPTIONS IN PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES

Other

Amber Arakaki, 36 Hastings Const. L.Q. 131 (2008), Note RETHINKING GRANFINANCIERA: MAY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT RETAIN PRE-TRIAL JURISDICTION AFTER FINDING A VALID JURY TRIAL RIGHT?

Andrew W. Bateman, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 933 (2007), Student Note RECONSIDERING IN RE TECHNOLOGY LICENSING CORPORATION AND THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN PATENT INVALIDITY SUITS

Jeffrey A. Meyer, 59 Hastings L.J. 137 (2007), Article AUTHENTICALLY INNOCENT: JURIES AND FEDERAL REGULATORY CRIMES

Persuading Jurors

Deborah R. Hansler, 13 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 8 (2008), Symposium JURORS IN THE MATERIAL WORLD: PUTTING TORT VERDICTS IN THEIR SOCIAL CONTEXT

Jason S. Bloom and John T. "Trey" Cox III, 71 Tex. B.J. 266 (April 2008), Feature IN CLOSING: STRATEGIES FOR CREATING AND ARMING JURIES IN THE CLOSING ARGUMENT

David Ball, 44 Trial 38 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors MAKING PREPONDERANCE WORK Almost All Jurors Expect You to Prove your Case Beyond a Reasonable Doubt, and You Won't Change Their Minds by Explaining Preponderance. But if You Make Preponderance a Working Theme Throughout Trial, Jurors will Make Preponderance-Based Decisions About Liability

Dan Jacks, 75 Def. Couns. J. 26 (2008), Feature Article THE MISSING LINK: CONNECTING KEY EVIDENCE TO THE JURORS

Christopher L. Brinkley, 43 *Trial* 26 (November 2007), Products Liability
GET THE MOST FROM ENGINEERING EXPERTS

Todd E. Pettys, 76 *Fordham L. Rev.* 1609 (2007), Symposium: Ethics and
Evidence THE EMOTIONAL JUROR

Georgetown Law Journal Thirty-Sixth Annual Review of Criminal
Procedure, 36 *Geo. L.J.* 232 (2007), INFLUENCES ON THE JURY

Punitive Damages

Michael P. Allen, 63 *N.Y.U. Ann. Surv. Am. L.* 343 (2008), Article OF
REMEDIES, JURIES, AND STATE REGULATION OF PUNITIVE
DAMAGES: THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Neil Vidmar and Matthew W. Wolfe, 2 *Charleston L. Rev.* 307 (2008),
Symposium Edition FAIRNESS THROUGH GUIDANCE: JURY
INSTRUCTION ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES AFTER PHILIP MORRIS v.
WILLIAMS

Shiela B. Scheuerman and Anthony J. Franze, 10 *U. Pa. J. Const. L.*
1147 (2008), Article INSTRUCTING JURIES ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES:
DUE PROCESS REVISITED AFTER PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Stephen J. Shapiro, 38 *U. Balt. L.F.* 27 (2007), Article PUNITIVE
DAMAGES IN MARYLAND: RECONCILING FEDERAL LAW, STATE LAW,
AND THE PATTERN JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Representativeness of Juries

122 *Harv. L. Rev.* 346 (2008), The Supreme Court 2007 Term, 1. JURY
SELECTION—BATSON CHALLENGES

Allison A. Hendrix, 44 *Crim. Law Bulletin* 3 (September-October 1008),
REINFORCING BATSON DEFINING THE PECULIAR: RACIAL PROFILING
AS AN IMPERMISSIBLE GROUND FOR PEREMPTORY CHALLENGE

Robert G. Martin, Ronald Randall, and James A. Woods, 29 *Just. Sys. J.*
71 (2008), Article RACIAL REPRESENTATIVENESS OF JURIES: AN
ANALYSIS OF SOURCE LIST AND ADMINISTRATIVE EFFECTS ON THE
JURY POOL

James R. Gadwood, 88 *B.U. L. Rev.* 291 (2008), Note THE FRAMEWORK
COMES CRUMBLING DOWN: JURYQUEST IN A BATSON WORLD

Robert A. Caplen, 10 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 65 (2007), Article WHEN BATSON MET GRUTTER: EXPLORING THE RAMIFICATIONS OF THE SUPREME COURT'S DIVERSITY PRONOUNCEMENTS WITHIN THE COMPUTERIZED JURY SELECTION PARADIGM

Right to a Jury Trial

Ring v. Arizona

Bruce T. Cunningham, Heather L. Rattelade, and Amanda Zimmer, 30 N.C. Cent. L. Rev. 107 (2008), Article RING V. ARIZONA AND CAPITAL PROCEEDINGS: BRAVE3 NEW WORLD OR A REVERSION TO THE OLD WORLD?

Other Issues

Amber Arakaki, 36 Hastings Const. L.Q. 131 (2008), Note RETHINKING GRANFINANCIERA: MAY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT RETAIN PRE-TRIAL JURISDICTION AFTER FINDING A VALID JURY TRIAL RIGHT?

Katherine Georger, 43 Gonz. L. Rev. 701 (2007-2008), Note SENTENCING AND ITS DISCONTENTS: CUNNINGHAM v. CALIFORNIA AND THE "UNREASONABLE" EROSION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Gerald P. Hill, II, 9 Fla. Coastal L. Rev. 143 (2008), Article REVISITING JUVENILE JUSTICE: THE REQUIREMENT FOR JURY TRIALS IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., 76 Fordham L. Rev. 2027 (2008), Article HARMLESS CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR AND THE INSTITUTIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE JURY

Fred Medick, 43 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 277 (2008), Conversation: GPS Monitoring of Domestic Violence Offenders DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DEFENDANTS' JURY TRIAL RIGHTS IN GPS MONITORING

Honorable Nancy C. Dreher, 2 Bankr. Serv. Current Awareness Alert 3 (February 2008), NINTH CIRCUIT RULES THAT THERE IS NO RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN A DISGORGMENT OF FEES PROCEEDING

Rinat Kitai-Sangero, 30 Whittier L. Rev. 5 (2008), Article FROM MURDER TO EATING FRENCH FRIES IN A METRO STATION—THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL FOR ALL TYPES OF OFFENSES

Brian J. Hilverda, 44 Idaho L. Rev. 185 (2007), Comment PROTECTING THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: IDAHO'S RESPONSE TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN ADHESION CONTRACTS

Mark A. Mintz, 6 DePaul Bus. & Com. L.J. 143 (2007), Article THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT AND THE CISG: FUNCTIONAL FACTORS IN THE SEARCH FOR A JURY TRIAL RIGHT

Tina Chen, 28 J. Juv. L. 1 (2007), Notes & Comments THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: WHY IS IT A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT FOR ADULTS AND NOT JUVENILES?

Jennifer Dacey, 28 J. Juv. L. (2007), Digests NO SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ADULT CERTIFICATION BY JURY

Georgetown Law Journal Thirty-Sixth Annual Review of Criminal Procedure, 36 Geo. L.J. 232 (2007), RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

Christopher Deal, 82 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1780 (2007), Note BRADY MATERIAL BEFORE TRIAL: THE SCOPE OF THE DUTY TO DISCLOSE AND THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY

Charles D. McDaniel, Jr., 60 Ark. L. Rev. 563 (2007), Case Note FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DEWITT V. CRUTHIS: AN ANALYSIS OF THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN ARKANSAS AFTER THE MERGER OF LAW AND EQUITY

Andrew W. Bateman, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 933 (2007), Student Note RECONSIDERING IN RE TECHNOLOGY LICENSING CORPORATION AND THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN PATENT INVALIDITY SUITS

Terrence Kerwin, 112 Penn St. L. Rev. 659 (2007), Comment COMPULSORY PROCESS AND THE RIGHT TO PRESENT A DEFENSE: WHY A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT SHOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO FORCE A WITNESS WHO WILL "TAKE THE FIFTH" TO DO SO IN FRONT OF THE JURY

Sentencing and Juries (Other Than Capital Cases)

Gabriel T. Thornton, 41 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 1001 (2008), Case Comment CRIMINAL LAW—JURY'S SILENCE ON THEORY OF FIRST-DEGREE MURDER NOT AN ACQUITTAL FOR DOUBLE JEOPARDY PURPOSES—COMMONWEALTH v. CARLINO, 865 N.E.2D 767 (MASS. 2007)

Katherine Georger, 43 Gonz. L. Rev. 701 (2007-2008), Note
SENTENCING AND ITS DISCONTENTS: CUNNINGHAM V. CALIFORNIA
AND THE "UNREASONABLE" EROSION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Amy Farrell and Daniel Givelber, 33 Law & Soc. Inquiry 31 (2008), Article
JUDGES AND JURIES: THE DEFENSE CASE AND DIFFERENCES IN
ACQUITTAL RATES

Kirk J. Henderson, 33 U. Dayton L. Rev. 37 (2007), Article MANDATORY-
MINIMUM SENTENCES AND THE JURY: TIME AGAIN TO REVISIT
THEIR RELATIONSHIP

Laurie Jaeckel, 85 Denv. U. L. Rev. 153 (2007), Comment CUNNINGHAM
V. CALIFORNIA: THE SHIFTING BALANCE OF JUDGE AND JURY

Size of Jury/Unanimity Requirement

Emil J. Bove, III, 97 Geo. L.J. 251 (2008), Note PRESERVING THE
VALUE OF UNANIMOUS CRIMINAL JURY VERDICTS IN ANTI-
DEADLOCK INSTRUCTIONS

Peter Weston and Eric Ow, 10 New Crim. L. Rev. 153 (2007), Article
REACHING AGREEMENT ON WHEN JURORS MUST AGREE

Michael J. Saks and Alisa Smith, 60 Fla. L. Rev. 441 (2008), Article THE
CASE FOR OVERTURNING WILLIAMS v. FLORIDA AND THE SIX-
PERSON JURY: HISTORY, LAW, AND EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE

Threats to the Jury System

Daniel P. Sullivan, 7 J. Marshall Rev. Intell. Prop. L. 754 (2008),
Comment MUST THE JURY REACH THE VERDICT? THE
CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ELIMINATING JURIES IN PATENT TRIALS BY
CREATING AN ARTICLE 1 TRIBUNAL

Bill Haltom, 44 Tenn. B.J. 31 (March 2008), THE LAST TENNESSEE
JURY TRIAL

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., 76 Fordham L. Rev. 2027 (2008), Article
HARMLESS CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR AND THE INSTITUTIONAL
SIGNIFICANCE OF THE JURY

Norman M. Garland, 36 Sw. U. L. Rev. 853 (2008), Article ESSAY ON: OF
JUDGES AND JURIES REVISITED IN THE CONTEXT OF CERTAIN

PRELIMINARY FACT QUESTIONS DETERMINING THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE UNDER FEDERAL AND CALIFORNIA RULES OF EVIDENCE

Robert Wilson, 18 Geo. Mason U. Civ. Rts. L.J. 389 (2008), Article FREE SPEECH V. TRIAL BY JURY: THE ROLE OF THE JURY IN THE APPLICATION OF THE PICKERING TEST

David Kessler, 31 Harv. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 423 (2008), Law and Morality JUSTICES IN THE JURY BOX: VIDEO EVIDENCE AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN SCOTT v. HARRIS, 127 S. CT. 1769 (2007)

Brian J. Hilverda, 44 Idaho L. Rev. 185 (2007), Comment PROTECTING THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: IDAHO'S RESPONSE TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN ADHESION CONTRACTS

Craig W. Runyon, 32 S. Ill. U. L.J. 269 (2007), Casenote THE DUTY QUESTION IN SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP NEGLIGENCE CASES: PRESERVING THE ROLE OF THE THE JURY IN MARSHALL v. BURGER KING CORP., 856 N.E. 2D 1048 (Ill. 2006)

Voir Dire/Jury Selection

Thomas J. Hurney, Jr. and Randal H. Sellers, 75 Def. Couns. J. 370 (2008), Feature Article PICKING JURIES: QUESTIONNAIRES AND BEYOND

Bobby Marzine Harges, 54 Loy. L. Rev. 95 (2008), Article PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN JURY SELECTION IN LOUISIANA—WHEN “GUT FEELING” IS NOT ENOUGH

Shari Seidman Diamond and Mary R. Rose, 42 Law & Soc'y Rev. 513 (2008), Article JUDGING BIAS: JUROR CONFIDENCE AND JUDICIAL RULINGS ON CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

Brooke A. Thompson, 30 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 845 (2008), The Ben J. Alheimer Symposium CRIMINAL LAW—THE SUPREME COURT EXPANDS THE WITT PRINCIPLES TO EXCLUDE A JUROR WHO WOULD FOLLOW THE LAW. UTTECHT v. BROWN, 127 S. CT. 2218 (2007)

Joseph Brooks, 27 Trial Advoc. Q. 14 (Summer 2008), MYTHS AND REALITIES OF JURY SELECTION: SOME PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Stephen M. Masterson, 27 Trial Advoc. Q. 7 (Summer 2008), "WITHOUT MEAT, DRINK, FIRE OF CANDLE": WHAT ARE THE LIMITS ON VOIR DIRE AND JURY DELIBERATIONS?

Chris O'Brien, 44 Trial 36 (August 2008), Feature CONNECTING WITH PROSPECTIVE JURORS

David Kennedy, 41 Md. B.J.34 (July/August 2008), Feature JURY VOIR DIRE

R. Rex Parris and James Wren, 44 Trial 19 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors REACH JURORS ACROSS THE GENERATIONS Recognizing the Effects of Life Experiences Will Help You Segment a Jury Pool Into Groups Whose Values and Prejudices you Can Accommodate

Catherine M. Castaldi, 50 Orange County Law. 7 (March 2008), Column THE BLOGGING JUROR—WHY YOU NEED TO KNOW ABOUT BLOGS

Penelope Pillsbury Campbell, 20 DCBA Brief 16 (Jan. 2008), Lead Article CRIMINAL BACKGROUND CHECKS OF PROSPECTIVE JURORS: A NECESSITY OR AN INTRUSION?

Gary R. Giewat, 34 Westchester B.J. 61 (2007), THE USE OF ZIP CODE DATA IN JURY SELECTION

Jo-Ellan Dimitrius, 25 Comm. Law. 17 (Fall 2007), Practice Pointer SPOTTING THE STEALTH JUROR

William P. Barnette, 84 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 451 (2007), Comment MA, MA, WHERE'S MY PA? ON YOUR JURY, HA, HA, HA! A CONSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS OF IMPLIED BIAS CHALLENGES FOR CAUSE

Jeffrey J. Swart and Daniel C. Norris, 23 Ga. St. U. L. Rev. 617 (2007), Article PRACTITIONER'S NOTE JURY SELECTION: WHOSE JOB IS IT ANYWAY?

Joseph A. Colquit, 40 Conn. L. Rev. 1 (2007), Article USING JURY QUESTIONNAIRES; (AB)USING JURORS