

Substantive Law Cases Before Juries

Regina A. Schuller & Marc A. Klippenstine, 10 Psych., L., & Pub. Pol'y. 321 (2004), THE IMPACT OF COMPLAINANT SEXUAL HISTORY EVIDENCE ON JURORS' DECISIONS

Admiralty

George J. Koelzer, 34 J. Mar. L. & Com. 159 (2003), Fourth Newport Symposium SHOULD WE TRUST JURIES WITH ADMIRALTY CASES?

Gary T. Sacks, 34 J. Mar. L. & Com. 163 (2003), Fourth Newport Symposium JURIES SHOULD NOT BE TRUSTED TO DECIDE ADMIRALTY CASES

Contracts

Kenneth R. Berman and J. Charles Mokriski, 50 B. B.J. 10 (Sept./Oct. 2006), Department JUDGE, JURY, OR ANYBODY'S GUESS: WHO DECIDES WHAT A CONTRACT MEANS

William C. Whitford, 2001 Wis. L. Rev. 931 (2001), Contracts Symposium THE ROLE OF THE JURY (AND THE FACT/LAW DISTINCTION) IN THE INTERPRETATION OF WRITTEN CONTRACTS

Susan J. Macpherson, 36 Trial 56 (Oct. 2000), Commercial Litigation HOW TO HOOK JURORS IN COMMERCIAL CASES

Employment

Maureen S. Binetti, 44 Trial 59 (Dec. 2008), Department REACH OUT TO JURORS IN EMPLOYMENT CASES

Ross B. Goldman, 92 Va. L. Rev. 1533 (2007), Note PUTTING PRETEXT IN CONTEXT: EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION, THE SAME-ACTOR INFERENCE, AND THE PROPER ROLES OF JUDGES AND JURIES

Robert Frankhouser, 8 Duquesnes Bus. L.J. 55 (2006), Article THE ENFORCEABILITY OF PRE-DISPUTE JURY WAIVER AGREEMENTS IN EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CASES

Lindsay Adams, 83 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 7 (2005), Case Digests EMPLOYMENT LAW—SEXUAL HARASSMENT—WHERE THE EXCESSIVENESS OF A VERDICT IS THE PRODUCT OF COUNSEL'S CALCULATED EFFORTS TO DIVERT THE JURY FROM ITS FACTFINDING ROLE, A NEW TRIAL IS NECESSARY. GILBERT V. DAIMLERCHRYSLER CORP., 685 N.W.2D 391 (MICH. 2004)

Michael H. LeRoy, 7 U. Pa. J. Lab. & Emp. L. 767 (2005), Articles and Essays JURY REVIVAL OR JURY REVILED? WHEN EMPLOYEES ARE COMPELLED TO WAIVE JURY TRIALS

Kimmeria Bayton, 35 U. Balt. L.F. 177 (2005), Recent Development TOWSON UNIVERSITY V. CONTE: A Jury's Role in a Wrongful Discharge Case Does Not Include that of Ultimate Fact-Finder

David Benjamin Oppenheimer, 37 U.C. Davis L. Rev. 511 (Dec. 2003), Article VERDICTS MATTER: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF CALIFORNIA EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AND WRONGFUL DISCHARGE JURY VERDICTS REVEALS LOW SUCCESS RATES FOR WOMEN AND MINORITIES

W. Rick Beck, 2001-SEP W. Va. Law. 14 (2001), Feature EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES CLAIMS: PLAINTIFF MENTALITIES, JUROR ATTITUDES AND INSURANCE COVERAGE

Franchising

Michael J. Lockerby, 22 Franchise L.J. 151 (Winter 2003), MARKET WITHDRAWAL: JUDGES AND JURIES AREN'T BUYING WHAT TERMINATED DEALERS ARE SELLING

Edward Wood Dunham, 21 Franchise L.J. 179 (Spring 2002), From the Editor-in-Chief A RARE BUT SCARY

THING: MORE ON FRANCHISE JURY TRIALS

Edward Wood Dunham, 21 Franchise L.J. 115 (Winter 2002), From the Editor-in-Chief JURY TRIALS FOR FRANCHISORS: "... A DELUSION, A MOCKERY AND A SNARE"?

Intellectual Property

Daniel P. Sullivan, 7 J. Marshall Rev. Intell. Prop. L. 754 (2008), Comment MUST THE JURY REACH THE VERDICT? THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF ELIMINATING JURIES IN PATENT TRIALS BY CREATING AN ARTICLE 1 TRIBUNAL

Michael T. Nguyen, 59 Hastings L.J. 1257 (2008), Note THE MYTH OF "LUCKY" PATENT VERDICTS: IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF APPELLATE REVIEW BY INCORPORATING FUZZY LOGIC IN JURY VERDICTS

Francine Nesti, 84 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 571 (2007), Comment THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT: DURING ITS QUARTER-CENTURY EXISTENCE, IT HAS INCREASINGLY POSITIONED ITSELF AS THE LAST WORD IN PATENT LITIGATION, AT THE EXPENSE OF UNIFORMITY, PREDICTABILITY AND COST. WILL THE "SUPER JUROR" LEARN FROM ITS MISTAKES?

Kimberly A. Moore, 11 Fed. Circuit B.J. 209 (2001-2002), JUDGES, JURIES, AND PATENT CASES -- AN EMPIRICAL PEEK INSIDE THE BLACK BOX

Kimberly A. Moore, 39 Hous. L. Rev. 779 (2002), The Future of Patent Law Institute for Intellectual Property & Information Law Symposium JURIES, PATENT CASES, & A LACK OF TRANSPARENCY

Philippe Signore, 83 J. Pat. & Trademark Off. Soc'y 896 (2001), ON THE ROLE OF JURIES IN PATENT LITIGATION (PART II)

Philippe Signore, 83 J. Pat. & Trademark Off. Soc'y 791 (2001), ON THE ROLE OF JURIES IN PATENT LITIGATION (PART I)

W. Eric Pitts, 24 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 623 (2001), Student Note THE ROLE OF THE JURY IN PATENT INFRINGEMENT ACTIONS: RECONCILING MARKMAN V. WESTVIEW INSTRUMENTS, INC. AND WARNER-JENKINSON CO. V. HILTON DAVIS CHEMICAL CO.

Medical Malpractice

Kay M. Cooper, 43 Ariz. Att'y 16 (February 2007), Feature MEDICAL MALPRACTICE: THE PROGNOSIS Attorneys Talk About Tort Reform, Jury Attitudes and Change

Neil Vidmar, 38 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1217 (2005), Symposium MEDICAL MALPRACTICE LAWSUITS: AN ESSAY ON PATIENT INTERESTS, THE CONTINGENCY FEE SYSTEM, JURIES, AND SOCIAL POLICY

Nancy S. Marder, 38 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1267 (2005), Symposium THE MEDICAL MALPRACTICE DEBATE: THE JURY AS SCAPEGOAT

Lynn R. Laufenberg, 41 Trial 22 (2005), Medical Negligence NO MORE DR. NICE GUY The Outcome of a Medical Negligence Lawsuit Often Depends on the Doctor's Likeability. Get Jurors to See Beyond It to the Merits of the Case.

B. Michael Dann, 37 Wake Forest L. Rev. 943 (2002), Article JURORS AS BENEFICIARIES OF PROPOSALS TO OBJECTIFY PROOF OF THE STANDARD OF CARE IN MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES

Philip G. Peters, Jr., 87 Iowa L. Rev. 909 (2002), Articles THE ROLE OF THE JURY IN MODERN MALPRACTICE LAW

Jacob G. Vigil, 37 Trial 29 (May 2001), Medical Negligence NEGLIGENCE IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM

Negligence, Generally

Deborah R. Hansler, 13 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 8 (2008), Symposium JURORS IN THE MATERIAL WORLD: PUTTING TORT VERDICTS IN THEIR SOCIAL CONTEXT

Valerie P. Hans, 13 Roger Williams U. L. Rev. 39 (2008), Symposium EMPOWERING THE ACTIVE JURY: A GENUINE TORT REFORM

Miles Hutton, 27 Of Counsel 5 (2008), A Roadmap for Defending an Industry FIVE COMMON JUROR MISCONCEPTIONS IN PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY CASES

Sara Hoffman Jurand, 42 Trial 82 (Mar. 2006), News & Trend TOUR BUSES NEED SEAT BELTS, LAMINATED GLASS, TEXAS JURY SAYS

Molly McDonough, 8 A.B.A. J. E-Report 3 (Feb. 24, 2006), The National Pulse LEAD-PAINT MAKERS CREATED A PUBLIC NUISANCE, JURY FINDS Rhode Island Verdict a First Against the Paint Industry

77 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 625 (2002), Symposium on Negligence in the Courts: The Actual Practice APPENDIX: BIBLIOGRAPHY OF STATE JURY INSTRUCTIONS FOR NEGLIGENCE

Stephen G. Gilles, 54 Vand. L. Rev. 813 (2001), Symposium ON DETERMINING NEGLIGENCE: HAND FORMULA BALANCING, THE REASONABLE PERSON STANDARD, AND THE JURY

Valerie P. Hans, 36 Trial 19 (July 2000), Features WHAT JURORS THINK ABOUT CONNECTIVE TISSUE INJURIES

Valerie P. Hans and Nicole Vadino, 67 Tenn. L. Rev. 569 (2000), Symposium: Communicating with Juries WHIPPED BY WHIPLASH? THE CHALLENGES OF JURY COMMUNICATION IN LAWSUITS INVOLVING CONNECTIVE TISSUE INJURY

September 11 Effects

James Curry Woods, 55 Ala. L. Rev. 209 (2003), Commentary THE THIRD TOWER: THE EFFECT OF THE SEPTEMBER 11TH TERRORIST ATTACKS ON THE AMERICAN JURY SYSTEM

Gail Diane Cox, 26 Nat'l. L. J. (Sept. 30, 2002), JURORS SHOW POST-ATTACK EFFECTS; PATTERNS FROM TRIALS ARE ELUSIVE, AND RESEARCH IS SCANTY.

C.K. "Pete" Rowland, 69 Def. Couns. J. 180 (April 2002), Feature Article PSYCHOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVES ON JUROR REACTIONS TO THE SEPTEMBER 11 EVENTS Terror Management Theory would Predict More Punitive Jury Reactions, but the More Traditional Social-Cognition Approach may Still Predominate

Mark Sobus, 24 Nat'l. L. J. (Dec. 24, 2001), WHAT SEPT. 11 EFFECT? JUST ASK THE JURORS.

Sexual Harassment

Jill E. Huntley and Mark Costanzo, 27 L. & Hum. Beh. 29 (2003), SEXUAL HARASSMENT STORIES: TESTING A STORY-MEDIATED MODEL OF JUROR DECISION-MAKING IN CIVIL LITIGATION.

Louise F. Fitzgerald, 39 Trial 36 (March 2003), Protecting Workers A NEW FRAMEWORK FOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASES

Theresa M. Beiner, 75 S. Cal. L. Rev. 791 (2002), Articles LET THE JURY DECIDE: THE GAP BETWEEN WHAT JUDGES AND REASONABLE PEOPLE BELIEVE IS SEXUALLY HARASSING

Nancy S. Marder, 75 S. Cal. L. Rev. 659 (2002), Article JURIES, JUSTICE & MULTICULTURALISM

Other

Amber Arakaki, 36 Hastings Const. L.Q. 131 (2008), Note RETHINKING GRANFINANCIERA: MAY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT RETAIN PRE-TRIAL JURISDICTION AFTER FINDING A VALID JURY TRIAL RIGHT?

Andrew W. Bateman, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 933 (2007), Student Note RECONSIDERING IN RE TECHNOLOGY LICENSING CORPORATION AND THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN PATENT INVALIDITY SUITS

Jeffrey A. Meyer, 59 Hastings L.J. 137 (2007), Article AUTHENTICALLY INNOCENT: JURIES AND FEDERAL REGULATORY CRIMES

Helen Gunnarsson, 95 Ill. B. J. 118 (March 2007), Practice News JURY TRIALS FOR DIVORCE? An Illinois Bill Would Bring Jury Trials Back to Contested Divorce. The ISBA Family Law Section Council Thinks It's a Bad Idea

Donald E. Jose and Michael A. Garza, 26 Temp. J. Sci. Tech. & Env'tl. L. 1 (2007), Article THE COMPLETE FEDERAL PREEMPTION OF NUCLEAR SAFETY SHOULD PREVENT SCIENTIFICALLY IRRATIONAL JURY VERDICTS IN RADIATION LITIGATION

Phillip K. Anthony, 26 Of Counsel 5 (February 2007), From the Editor COMPLEX INSURANCE CASES PROVIDE SIGNIFICANT LESSONS ON HOW JURIES MAKE DECISIONS

Jarod S. Gonzalez, 9 U. Pa. J. Lab. & Emp. L. 25 (2006), Articles SOX, STATUTORY INTERPRETATION, AND THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT: SARBANES-OXLEY ACT WHISTLEBLOWER CLAIMS AND JURY TRIALS

Allan J. Kam, 43 Trial 18 (February 2007), Danger on the Road DEBUNKING NHTSA MYTHS IN TRUCKING LITIGATION Jurors Put a Lot of Faith in Government Regulation of the Trucking Industry, They Need to Understand that Federal Safety Standards and Defect Investigations Do Not Ensure That Trucks on the Road Are Safe

Melissa L. Breger, 13 Mich. J. Gender & L. 1 (2006), Article INTRODUCING THE CONSTRUCT OF THE JURY INTO FAMILY VIOLENCE PROCEEDING AND FAMILY COURT JURISPRUDENCE

Martha Neil, 6 A.B.A. J. E-Report 3 (January 2007), The National Pulse JURY TO TRY ATTORNEY FEE DISPUTE When Damages are Cut, Lawyer's Award must be Reassessed, Court Says

Kevin Gick, 43 Fam. Ct. Rev. 612 (2005), Student Note "TWELVE MOMMIES AND DADDIES, NOT A SCARY JUDGE CLAD IN BLACK" WHY DOES ONLY ONE STATE LET JURIES DECIDE CHILD CUSTODY CASES?

Dan Messner, 27 J. Juv. L. 169 (2006), Digest RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL AND THE LEVEL OF EVIDENCE IN A JUVENILE DELINQUENCY DISPOSITION

Marina Moriarty, 11 Suffolk J. Trial & App. Advoc. 181 (2006), Note JURY INSTRUCTIONS, NOT PROBLEMATIC EXPERT TESTIMONY, IN CHILD SEXUAL ASSAULT CASES

Kristin L. Wright, 47 Ariz. L. Rev. 1081 (2005), Case Note PHELPS V. FIREBIRD RACEWAY, INC.: ESTABLISHING EXPRESS ASSUMPTION OF RISK AS A QUESTION OF FACT FOR THE JURY

Joel Schumm, 49 Res Gestae 29 (Jan/Feb 2006), JURY TRIALS FOR TRAFFIC INFRACTIONS

Charles L. Babcock, 47 S. Tex. L. Rev. 325 (2005), Judge & Jury Symposium THE ROLE OF THE COURT AND JURY IN LIBEL CASES

Catherine T. Struve, 37 Colum. Hum. Rts. L. Rev. 659 (2006), Article CONSTITUTIONAL DECISION RULES FOR JURIES

Rebecca Hollander-Blumoff, Matthew T. Bodie, 90 Iowa L. Rev. 1361 (2005), THE EFFECTS OF JURY IGNORANCE ABOUT DAMAGE CAPS: THE CASE OF THE 1991 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

Martha Marie Eastman 41 Trial 62 (Oct. 2005), Feature ENSURE JUSTICE IN NURSING HOME CASES Substandard Care of Nursing Home Residents Makes Jurors Angry. Know What to Focus on So Jurors Feel Empowered to Right the Wrongs Your Client Suffered

Nicholas C. Dranias, 19 Cal. B. Rec. 38 (Feb./Mar. 2005), Special YLS Section: The Importance of Jury: RECONSIDERING F.H. & CO. Should There be a Right to a Jury Trial on Contractual Attorneys' Fees Claims?

17 D.C. B.A. Brief 28 (2005), Lead Article JURY TRIALS IN CHANCERY CASES.

Jonathon L. Mayes, 10 Alb. L. Envtl. Outlook J. 71 (2005), Article THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ENVIRONMENTAL COST-RECOVERY AND CONTRIBUTION ACTIONS: UNITED STATES V. ENGLAND.

F. Joseph Warin & Alexander W. Pascover, 18 Insights No. 8, p. 5 (Aug. 2004), Security Enforcement, JURIES: A BEACON OF HOPE FOR SECURITIES FRAUD DEFENDANTS

Thomas J. Leach, 27 Am. J. Trial Adv. 559 (Spring 2004), HOW DO JURORS REACT TO "PROPENSITY" EVIDENCE: A REPORT ON A SURVEY

Regina A. Schuller & Marc A. Klippenstine, 10 Psych., L., & Pub. Pol'y. 321 (2004), THE IMPACT OF COMPLAINANT SEXUAL HISTORY EVIDENCE ON JURORS' DECISIONS

Joni Johnston, 46 Orange County Law. 20 (Feb. 2004), Feature ARGUING THE INVISIBLE: HOW JURIES VIEW PSYCHOLOGICAL INJURIES

Don Rushing, Linda Lane and Erin Bosman, 70 Def. Couns. J. 378 (2003), Feature Article ANCHORS AWAY: ATTACKING DOLLAR SUGGESTIONS FOR NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES IN CLOSINGS

B. Michael Dann, 78 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1127 (2003), Symposium: The Jury at a Crossroad: The American Experience JURORS AND THE FUTURE OF "TORT REFORM"

Nancy S. Marder, 6 J. Gender Race & Just. 337 (2002), Article JURIES, DRUG LAWS & SENTENCING

Robert S. Peck, 38 Trial 21 (Sept. 2002), Damage CAPS ON DAMAGES: ADDING INJURY TO INJURY

William C. Altreuter, 74 N.Y. St. B.J. 40 (Aug. 2002), USE OF SURVEILLANCE EVIDENCE POSES RISK OF ETHICAL DILEMMAS AND POSSIBLE JUROR BACKLASH

Marvin L. Karp, 31 Brief 12 (Summer 2002), JURY SELECTION IN CATASTROPHE CASES

Laurens Walker, 88 Va. L. Rev. 405 (2002), Essay A MODEL PLAN TO RESOLVE FEDERAL CLASS ACTION CASES BY JURY TRIAL

Jennifer L. Skeem, 7 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 561 (2001), Article DESCRIBING JURORS' PERSONAL CONCEPTIONS OF INSANITY AND THEIR RELATIONSHIP TO CASE JUDGMENTS

Leif M. Clark, 20-APR Am. Bankr. Inst. J. 26 (2001), Column JURY TRIALS AND BANKRUPTCY: GETTING THE PROCEDURES RIGHT

Deborah Bartolomey, 7 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 247 (2001), Commentary Article CROSS-RACIAL IDENTIFICATION TESTIMONY AND WHAT NOT TO DO ABOUT IT

Ronald Chester, 1 Pepp. Disp. Resol. L.J. 267 (2001), MEDIATION AND JURY TRIALS AS MEANS OF RESOLVING WILL CONTESTS

Paul A. Ellis Jr., 2 Lawyers J. 2 (2000), PAIN AND SUFFERING UNDER THE MVFRL IS FOR THE JURY

Lisa Kavanaugh, 35 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 509 (2000), Notes MASSACHUSETTS'S SEXUALLY DANGEROUS PERSONS LEGISLATION: CAN JURIES MAKE A BAD LAW BETTER?

Donald J. Ramsell, 88 Ill. B.J. 285 (2000 No. 15), Practice Tips EFFECTIVE CLOSING ARGUMENTS IN DUI JURY CASES