

Right to a Jury Trial

Ring v. Arizona articles

Bruce T. Cunningham, Heather L. Rattelade, and Amanda Zimmer, 30 N.C. Cent. L. Rev. 107 (2008), Article RING V. ARIZONA AND CAPITAL PROCEEDINGS: BRAVE3 NEW WORLD OR A REVERSION TO THE OLD WORLD?

Andrew D. Hurwitz, 42 Ariz. Att'y 14 (Mar. 2006), Feature RING CYCLE

Angela Onwuachi-Willig, 93 Cal. L. Rev. 1647 (2005), Article THE RETURN OF THE RING: WELFARE REFORM'S MARRIAGE CURE AS THE REVIVAL OF POST-BELLUM CONTROL

Colleen Kavanagh Cockrum, 41 Crim. Law Bulletin 2 (June 2005), Retroactivity and Ring v. Arizona: the Ninth Circuit Finally Got It Right

Mark A. Visger, Army Law. 54 (Sept. 2005), Department of the Army Pamphlet 27-50-388 THE IMPACT OF RING V. ARIZONA ON MILITARY CAPITAL SENTENCING

Gillian T. DeFilippo, 53 Cath. U. L. Rev. 1091 (2004), Comment, TOSSING ITS HAT IN THE RING: WITH SUMMERLIN V. STEWART, THE NINTH CIRCUIT EXPOSES THE HARMFUL AMBIGUITY CAUSED BY RING V. ARIZONA

Sara N. Williams, 37 Loy. L. A. L. Rev. 1247 (2004), Notes & Comments, REMATCH IN THE RING: GIVING DEATH ROW INMATES ANOTHER CHANCE TO CHALLENGE THEIR SENTENCES IN SUMMERLIN V. STEWART

Daren S. Koudele, 106 W. Va. L. Rev. 843 (2004), UNRAVELING RING v. ARIZONA: BALANCING JUDICIAL SENTENCING ENHANCEMENTS WITH THE SIXTH AMENDMENT IN CAPITAL PUNISHMENT SCHEMES

117 Harv. L. Rev. 1291 (2004), Recent Cases CRIMINAL PROCEDURE -- HABEAS CORPUS -- NINTH CIRCUIT HOLDS THAT THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION IN RING V. ARIZONA APPLIES RETROACTIVELY TO CASES ON HABEAS CORPUS REVIEW. Summerlin v. Stewart, 341 F.3D 1082 (9TH CIR. 2003) (EN BANC).

Marc R. Shapiro, 59 N. Y. U. Ann. Surv. Am. L. 633 (2004), Note RE-EVALUATING THE ROLE OF THE JURY IN CAPITAL CASES AFTER RING V. ARIZONA

Jason E. Barsanti, 31 Pepp. L. Rev. 519 (2004), Note RING V. ARIZONA: THE SIXTH AND EIGHTH AMENDMENTS COLLIDE: OUT OF THE WRECKAGE EMERGES A CONSTITUTIONAL SAFEGUARD FOR CAPITAL DEFENDANTS

Elizabeth A. Marino, 37 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 247 (2004), Case Comment CONSTITUTIONAL LAW--JUDGE'S IMPOSITION OF DEATH PENALTY VIOLATES SIXTH AMENDMENT'S RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY Ring v. Arizona, 536 U.S. 584 (2002)

Bryan A. Stevenson, 54 Ala. L. Rev. 1091 (2003), Two Views on the Impact of Ring v. Arizona on Capital Sentencing THE ULTIMATE AUTHORITY ON THE ULTIMATE PUNISHMENT: THE REQUISITE ROLE OF THE JURY IN CAPITAL SENTENCING

Nathan A. Forrester, 54 Ala. L. Rev. 1157 (2003), Two Views on the Impact of Ring v. Arizona on Capital Sentencing JUDGE VERSUS JURY: THE CONTINUING VALIDITY OF ALABAMA'S CAPITAL SENTENCING REGIME AFTER RING v. ARIZONA

Benjamin F. Diamond, 55 Fla. L. Rev. 905 (2003), Note THE SIXTH AMENDMENT: WHERE DID THE JURY GO? FLORIDA'S FLAWED SENTENCING IN DEATH PENALTY CASES

Matt Gaffney, 3 Wyo. L. Rev. 769 (2003), Case Note CRIMINAL LAW -- THE SIXTH AMENDMENT CLASH:

JUDGES vs. JURIES *Ring v. Arizona*, 122 S. CT. 2428 (2002),

Victoria Johnson, 16 Regent U. L. Rev. 191 (2003-2004), Comments and Notes ELEMENTAL FACTS: DID RING v. ARIZONA REDEFINE CAPITAL SENTENCING?

Ethan Isaac Jacobs, 103 Colum. L. Rev. 1805 (2003), Note IS RING RETROACTIVE?

Thomas Aumann, 34 Loy. U. Chi. L.J. 845 (2003), Note DEATH BY PEERS: THE EXTENSION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT TO CAPITAL SENTENCING IN RING V. ARIZONA

Seth S. Branham, 56 Okla. L. Rev. 365 (2003), Recent Developments in Oklahoma Law CRIMINAL LAW: THE OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS' PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIVE APPLICATION OF RING V. ARIZONA TO OKLAHOMA'S CAPITAL SENTENCING SCHEME

Eric J. Beane, 45 Ariz. L. Rev. 225 (2003), Arizona Case Notes CASE NOTE: WHEN IT COMES TO CAPITAL SENTENCING, YOU BE THE JUDGE: RING V. ARIZONA

Gary Scott Turner, 27 Nova L. Rev. 501 (2003), Note and Comment RING V. ARIZONA: HOW DID THIS HAPPEN, AND WHERE DO WE GO?

Casey Laffey, 77 St. John's L. Rev. 371 (2003), Note THE DEATH PENALTY AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT: HOW WILL THE SYSTEM LOOK AFTER RING V. ARIZONA?

Benjamin Cooke, 28 U. Dayton L. Rev. 383 (2003), Note RING V. ARIZONA: UNNECESSARILY ABANDONING JUDGES ALONG THE WINDING ROAD OF THE DEATH PENALTY

Simón Cantarero, 17 BYU J. Pub. L. 323 (2003), Note WHO MAKES THE CALL ON CAPITAL PUNISHMENT? HOW RING V. ARIZONA CLARIFIES THE APPRENDI RULE AND THE IMPLICATIONS ON CAPITAL SENTENCING

John M. Challis, 22 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 521 (2003), Note I'M SORRY YOUR HONOR, YOU WILL NOT DECIDE MY FATE TODAY: THE ROLE OF JUDGES IN THE IMPOSITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY: A NOTE ON RING V. ARIZONA

Other Issues

Amber Arakaki, 36 Hastings Const. L.Q. 131 (2008), Note RETHINKING GRANFINANCIERA: MAY THE BANKRUPTCY COURT RETAIN PRE-TRIAL JURISDICTION AFTER FINDING A VALID JURY TRIAL RIGHT?

Katherine Georger, 43 Gonz. L. Rev. 701 (2007-2008), Note SENTENCING AND ITS DISCONTENTS: CUNNINGHAM v. CALIFORNIA AND THE "UNREASONABLE" EROSION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Gerald P. Hill, II, 9 Fla. Coastal L. Rev. 143 (2008), Article REVISITING JUVENILE JUSTICE: THE REQUIREMENT FOR JURY TRIALS IN JUVENILE PROCEEDINGS UNDER THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Roger A. Fairfax, Jr., 76 Fordham L. Rev. 2027 (2008), Article HARMLESS CONSTITUTIONAL ERROR AND THE INSTITUTIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE JURY

Fred Medick, 43 Harv. C.R.-C.L. L. Rev. 277 (2008), Conversation: GPS Monitoring of Domestic Violence Offenders DOMESTIC VIOLENCE DEFENDANTS' JURY TRIAL RIGHTS IN GPS MONITORING

Honorable Nancy C. Dreher, 2 Bankr. Serv. Current Awareness Alert 3 (February 2008), NINTH CIRCUIT RULES THAT THERE IS NO RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN A DISGORGMENT OF FEES PROCEEDING

Rinat Kitai-Sangero, 30 Whittier L. Rev. 5 (2008), Article FROM MURDER TO EATING FRENCH FRIES IN A METRO STATION—THE DEFENDANT'S RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL FOR ALL TYPES OF OFFENSES

Brian J. Hilverda, 44 Idaho L. Rev. 185 (2007), Comment PROTECTING THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: IDAHO'S RESPONSE TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION CLAUSES IN ADHESION CONTRACTS

Mark A. Mintz, 6 DePaul Bus. & Com. L.J. 143 (2007), Article THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT AND THE CISG: FUNCTIONAL FACTORS IN THE SEARCH FOR A JURY TRIAL RIGHT

Tina Chen, 28 J. Juv. L. 1 (2007), Notes & Comments THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL: WHY IS IT A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT FOR ADULTS AND NOT JUVENILES?

Jennifer Dacey, 28 J. Juv. L. (2007), Digests NO SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO ADULT CERTIFICATION BY JURY

Georgetown Law Journal Thirty-Sixth Annual Review of Criminal Procedure, 36 Geo. L.J. 232 (2007), RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

Christopher Deal, 82 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1780 (2007), Note BRADY MATERIAL BEFORE TRIAL: THE SCOPE OF THE DUTY TO DISCLOSE AND THE RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY JURY

Charles D. McDaniel, Jr., 60 Ark. L. Rev. 563 (2007), Case Note FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DEWITT V. CRUTHIS: AN ANALYSIS OF THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN ARKANSAS AFTER THE MERGER OF LAW AND EQUITY

Andrew W. Bateman, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 933 (2007), Student Note RECONSIDERING IN RE TECHNOLOGY LICENSING CORPORATION AND THE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN PATENT INVALIDITY SUITS

Terrence Kerwin, 112 Penn St. L. Rev. 659 (2007), Comment COMPULSORY PROCESS AND THE RIGHT TO PRESENT A DEFENSE: WHY A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT SHOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO FORCE A WITNESS WHO WILL "TAKE THE FIFTH" TO DO SO IN FRONT OF THE JURY

Matthew R. Ford, 101 Nw. U. L. Rev. 1371 (2007), Comment CRIMINAL FORFEITURE AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT'S RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL POST-BOOKER

Dije Ndreu, 37 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. 651 (2007), Case Summary NONCONSENSUAL WAIVER OF A JURY TRIAL: CLOSING THE DOOR, BUT NOT COMPLETELY: UNITED STATES V. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Samuel Gross, 21 Crim. Just. 20 (Winter 2007), Feature HOLMES V. SOUTH CAROLINA Upholds Trial by Jury

Sarah Peterson, 85 Or. L. Rev. 1063 (2006), Comment MISSING FROM OREGON'S TAKINGS CLAUSE: THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL OF COMPENSATION IN EMINENT DOMAIN PROCEEDINGS

Ryan Patrick Alford, 59 Okla. L. Rev. 479 (2006), Article CATALYZING MORE ADEQUATE FEDERAL HABEAS REVIEW OF SUMMATION MISCONDUCT: PERSUASION THEORY AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO AN UNBIASED JURY

62 Disp. Resol. J. 95 (October 2007), Departement—Review of Court Decision RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Christopher Tomlin, 37 Rutgers L.J. 1497 (2006), State Constitutional Law CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—JURY TRIAL—COLORADO CONSTITUTION GUARANTEES RIGHT TO A TWELVE PERSON JURY IN FELONY, BUT NOT MISDEMEANOR, CRIMINAL CASES

Mark J. Geragos, 39 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1167 (2006), Symposium: Celebrity Prosecutions THE THIRTEENTH JUROR: MEDIA COVERAGE OF SUPERSIZED TRIALS

Brandon S. Mercer, 26 J. Juv. L. 193 (2006), Digest NO TRIAL BY JURY FOR UNDERAGE DRINKING AND DRIVING

Jeannie Choi, 28 U. Haw. L. Rev. 457 (2006), Casenote STATE V. RIVERA: EXTENDED SENTENCING AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN HAWAII

Daniel M. Vannella, 48 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 723 (2006), Notes LET THE JURY DO THE SAIVE: HOW APPRENDI V. NEW JERSEY APPLIES TO JUVENILE TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

Grant R. Mainland, 106 Colum. L. Rev. (2006), Note A CIVIL JURY IN CRIMINAL SENTENCING: BLAKELY, FINANCIAL PENALTIES, AND THE PUBLIC RIGHTS EXCEPTION TO THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT

George T. Anagnost, 43 Ariz. Att'y 38 (November 2006), Feature TRIAL BY JURY AND "COMMON LAW" ANTECEDENTS What Hath Derendal Wrought?

Judith Fabricant, 50 B. B.J. 8 (Sept./Oct. 2006), Department THE JURY WAIVED CIVIL TRIAL

Robert S. Peck, 31 U. Dayton L. Rev. 307 (2006), Tort Reform Symposium VIOLATING THE INVIOATE: CAPS ON DAMAGES AND THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Thomas R. Bender, 39 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 935 (2006), Articles DOES THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY PLACE CONSTITUTIONAL LIMITS ON PREJUDGMENT INTEREST?

Chester S. Chuang, 10 Employee Rts. & Emp. Pol'y J. 205 (2006), Article ASSIGNING THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN CONTRACTUAL JURY WAIVER CHALLENGES: HOW VALUABLE IS YOUR RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL?

Brian M. Clary, 28 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. (2006), Note CRIMINAL LAW—THE SIXTH AMENDMENT AND THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY—WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?: THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT EXAMINES THE FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES. UNITED STATES V. BOOKER, 543 U.S. 220 (2005)

Robert S. Peck, 31 U. Dayton L. Rev. 307 (2006), Tort Reform Symposium VIOLATING THE INVIOATE: CAPS ON DAMAGES AND THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

35 Geo. L.J. Ann. Rev. Crim. Proc. 505 (2006), RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

Nancy C. Dreher, 2005 No. 9 Bank. Serv. Current Awareness Alert 5 (September 2005), SECOND CIRCUIT HOLDS THAT, IN A BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY ACTION UNDER DELAWARE COMMON AND CORPORATE LAW, DEFENDANTS ARE ENTITLED TO A JURY TRIAL

Scott H. Ikeda, 24 Law & Ineq. 157 (2006), Article PROBATION REVOCATIONS AS DELAYED DISPOSITIONAL DEPARTURES: WHY BLAKELY V. WASHINGTON REQUIRES JURY TRIALS AT PROBATION VIOLATION HEARINGS

Esperanzo Guzman, 37 St. Mary's L.J. 477 (2006), Comment STANDEFER V. STATE: THE CREATION OF THE CRIMINAL DEFENDANT'S DIMINISHED RIGHT TO A TRIAL BY A FAIR AND IMPARTIAL JURY

Kristina Walter, 53 Clev. St. L. Rev. 657 (2005-06), Note BOOKER AND OUR BRAVE NEW WORLD: THE TENSION AMONG THE FEDERAL SENTENCING GUIDELINES, JUDICIAL DISCRETION, AND A DEFENDANT'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Brian D. Weber, 53 Clev. St. L. Rev. 717 (2005-06), Note CONTRACTUAL WAIVERS OF A RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL—ANOTHER OPTION

Renee J. Simeone, 36 Rutgers L.J. 1551 (2005), State Constitutional Law RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL—EXISTENCE OF EQUITABLE CLAIMS WHEN BOTH EQUITABLE AND LEGAL CLAIMS ARE PRESENTED IN A SUIT IS NOT ENOUGH TO DENY THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL FOR LEGAL CLAIMS. STATE EX REL. LEONARDI V. SHERRY, 137 S.W. 3D 462 (MO. 2004)

Tabitha G. Davisson, 70 Mo. L. Rev. 609 (2005), Note RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL FOR LEGAL CLAIMS : DOES THE EQUITABLE CLEANUP DOCTRINE MAKE SENSE IN MISSOURI ?

Nathaniel Kenneth Risch, 35 U. Balt. L.F. 147 (2005), Recent Development DAVIS V. SLATER: Maryland Statutory Law Does Not Divest Parties in Civil Cases of Their Common Law Entitlement to Jury Trial Where the Amount in Controversy Fails to Exceed Ten Thousand Dollars

Jane W. Nall, 43 Brandeis L.J. 303 (2004-2005), Note BLANTON v. CITY OF NORTH LAS VEGAS: GAMBLING WITH CRIMINAL DEFENDANTS' CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL?

Daniel M. Livingston, 47 Orange County Law. 39 (Oct. 2005), Feature PRE-DISPUTE JURY WAIVERS AFTER GRAFTON PARTNERS Pre-Trial Waiver of the Jury Right Just Became More Difficult in California

Sara Hoffman Jurand, 41 Trial 19 (Oct. 2005), News and Trend RULINGS ON JURY WAIVER, MANDATORY ARBITRATION AFFIRM RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

Margaret M. Kane, 83 N.C. L. Rev. 1548 (2005), Recent Development THE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A JURY UNDER BLAKELY V. WASHINGTON: CAN NORTH CAROLINA DEFENDANTS WAIVE THEIR STATE RIGHT?

J. Michael McGuire, Adam S. Belzberg, 38 Md. B.J. 25 (Nov./Dec. 2005), Feature ARE JURY TRIAL WAIVERS COMING OF AGE?

Teresa R. Nelson, 5 Wyo. L. Rev. 317 (2005), Case Notes CRIMINAL LAW—THE SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY: A CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEE VERSUS THE DEMISE OF SENTENCING GUIDELINES, BLAKELY V. WASHINGTON, 124 S. CT. 2531 (2004)

Meredith Quinn Olearchik, 35 Rutgers L.J. 1517 (2004), Developments in State Constitutional Law: 2003 RIGHT TO A CIVIL JURY TRIAL—STATE CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO CIVIL TRIAL BY JURY IN INDIANA INCLUDES THE RIGHT TO BE PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM. JORDAN EX REL. JORDAN V. DEERY, 778 N.E.2D 1264 (IND. 2002)

Robert W. Higgason, 42 Houston Lawyer 38 (Nov./Dec. 2004), SUPREME COURT ENFORCES CONTRACTUAL JURY WAIVER BY MANDAMUS

Travis J. Ketterman, 51 Fed. Lawyer 16 (Oct. 2004), THE DEMANDS OF A JURY DEMAND

Ryan Fowler, 52 U. Kan. L. Rev. 631 (2004), Comment, WHY PUNITIVE DAMAGES SHOULD BE A JURY'S DECISION IN KANSAS

James L. "Larry" Wright & M. Matthew Williams, 45 S. Tex. L. Rev. 449 (2004), REMEMBER THE ALAMO: THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT OF THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, THE DOCTRINE OF INCORPORATION, AND STATE CAPS ON JURY AWARDS

Todd Green, 46 Orange Ctys Lawyer 8 (2004), CONTRACTUAL WAIVERS OF THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Kerrin C. Wolf, 12 Wm. & Mary Bill of Rts. J. (275 2003), Note JUSTICE BY ANY OTHER NAME: THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL AND THE CRIMINAL NATURE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE IN LOUISIANA

Erin C. Hansen, 59 J. Mo. B. 296 (2003), STATE EX REL. DIEHL v. O'MALLEY BREAKS DOWN THE WALL: THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN STATE COURT UNDER THE MISSOURI HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

W. Dudley McCarter, 59 J. Mo. B. 53 (2003), Department PARTY ENTITLED TO JURY TRIAL UNDER THE MISSOURI HUMAN RIGHTS ACT

Alan N. Herda, 9 Tex. Wesleyan L. Rev. 181 (2003), Notes and Comments WILLFUL PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

39 Idaho L. Rev. 649 (2003), Comment THE RIGHT TO A JURY "HAS NEVER BEEN EFFICIENT; BUT IT HAS ALWAYS BEEN FREE".

Stefania A. Di Trolio, 33 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1247 (2003), Comments UNDERMINING AND UNINTWINING: THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL AND RULE 12(B)(1)

12 Widener L.J. 221 (2003), Annual Survey of Pennsylvania Administrative Law INSURANCE FEDERATION OF

PENNSYLVANIA, INC. v. KOKEN: AN INDIVIDUAL'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IS RESTRICTED

C. Peter Erlinder, 29 Wm. Mitchell L. Rev. 1269 (2003), Examining Our Approaches To Sex Offenders & The Law
ESSAY: OF RIGHTS LOST AND RIGHTS FOUND: THE COMING RESTORATION OF THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN MINNESOTA CIVIL COMMITMENT PROCEEDINGS

Deborah J. Zimmerman, 3 Wyo. L. Rev. 205 (2003), General Law Division CIVIL CONTEMNORS, DUE PROCESS, AND THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

116 Harv. L. Rev. 705 (2002), Recent Cases CONSTITUTIONAL LAW--RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL--EIGHTH CIRCUIT HOLDS AN ADJUDICATION OF JUVENILE DELINQUENCY TO BE A "PRIOR CONVICTION" FOR THE PURPOSE OF SENTENCE ENHANCEMENT AT A SUBSEQUENT CRIMINAL PROCEEDING. United States v. Smalley, 294 F.3D 1030 (8th Cir. 2002).

Mark Thomas Mahfouz, 27 Tul. Mar. L.J. 277 (2002), Comment WHOSE INTERESTS ARE MORE IMPORTANT: SHOULD A PLAINTIFF'S RULE 9(H) DESIGNATION "TRUMP" A COUNTERCLAIMANT'S RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL?

Brian D. Coggio and Timothy E. Demasi, 13 Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J. 205 (2002), Articles THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN ACTIONS FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT AND SUITS FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

Mark A. Thurmon, 11 Tex. Intell. Prop. L.J. 1 (2002), Articles ENDING THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT CONFUSION: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN TRADEMARK CASES

Connie Milonakis and Sabeena Rajpal, 90 Geo. L.J. 1613 (2002), Thirty-First Annual Review of Criminal Procedure RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

John J. Watkins, 24 U. Ark. Little Rock L. Rev. 649 (2002), Article THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY IN ARKANSAS AFTER MERGER OF LAW AND EQUITY

Joan E. Schaffner, 31 U. Balt. L. Rev. 225 (2002), Article THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO CIVIL JURY TRIAL: THE SUPREME COURT GIVETH AND THE SUPREME COURT TAKETH AWAY

Jenny B. Davis, 1 A.B.A. J. E-Report 3 (Jan. 25, 2002), In the News JURIES FOR JUVENILES? Louisiana Supreme Court to Decide What's a Fair Trial for Youths Accused of Felonies

Brian D. Coggio and Timothy E. DeMasi, 57 Food & Drug L.J. 155 (2002), THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL UNDER THE WAXMAN-HATCH ACT--THE QUESTION REVISITED AND RESOLVED

David Stevens, 89 Ill. B.J. 520 (2001), APPRENDI AND EXTENDED-TERM SENTENCING: WHEN MUST THE JURY DECIDE?

Charles F. Thompson, Jr., 26 Vt. L. Rev. 1 (2001), Article JURIES WILL DECIDE MORE DISCRIMINATION CASES: AN EXAMINATION OF REEVES V. SANDERSON PLUMBING PRODUCTS, INC.

Jason Ferguson, 52 Mercer L. Rev. 1531 (2001), Casenote APPRENDI V. NEW JERSEY: SHOULD ANY FACTUAL DETERMINATION AUTHORIZING AN INCREASE IN A CRIMINAL DEFENDANT'S SENTENCE BE PROVEN TO A JURY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT?

Shaila Prabhakar, 32 Rutgers L.J. 1087 (2001), Thirteenth Annual Issue on State Constitutional Law TORT REFORM--CAP ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES DOES NOT VIOLATE RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL Kirkland v. Blaine County Medical Center, 4 P.3D 1115 (Idaho 2000).

Mindy L. Boyce, 89 Geo. L.J. 1515 (2001), Thirtieth Annual Review of Criminal Procedure RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

Margaret L. Moses, 54 SMU L. Rev. 561 (2001), Symposium on Revised Article 1 and Proposed Revised Article 2

of the Uniform Commercial Code THE JURY-TRIAL RIGHT IN THE UCC: ON A SLIPPERY SLOPE

Joseph P. Esposito and Jennifer McKeone Saunders, 10 Fed. Circuit B.J. 457 (2001), THE SEVENTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL IN CUSTOMS PENALTY CASES

Cherylyn Waibel, 25 Seton Hall Legis. J. 273 (2001), Supplement DUE PROCESS - ANY FACTOR THAT INCREASES THE PENALTY FOR A CRIME BEYOND THE STATUTORY PRESCRIBED MAXIMUM MUST BE SUBMITTED TO A JURY BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT - APPRENDI V. NEW JERSEY, 120 S.CT. 2348 (2000),.

William V. Dorsaneo, III, 54 SMU L. Rev. 1695 (2001), Article REEXAMINING THE RIGHT TO TRIAL BY JURY

Susan C. Zuckerman, 55 Disp. Resol. J. 93 (Oct. 2000), Departments 7TH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL

MaryAnn Fenicato, 2 Lawyers J. 3 (Oct. 2000), COMMONWEALTH'S RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL UPHELD Constitutional Amendment Survives Scrutiny

Javier M. Vazquez, 1 Barry L. Rev. 185 (2000), Comment APPROPRIATE TREATMENT FOR JUVENILE OFFENDERS: JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM V. JURY SYSTEM

Michael Hatcher and Kalea Seitz, 88 Geo. L.J. 1345 (2000), Twenty-Ninth Annual Review of Criminal Procedure RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL

Janine C. Ciallella, 8 Am. Bankr. Inst. L. Rev. 175 (2000), Note SHOULD BANKRUPTCY JUDGES BE PERMITTED TO CONDUCT JURY TRIALS?

Kathryn A. Thompson, 24 J. Legal Prof. 511 (2000), Student Commentaries THE UTILIZATION OF JURIES IN LAWYER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

Bernard E. Gegan, 74 St. John's L. Rev. 1 (2000), IS THERE A CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL OF EQUITABLE DEFENSES IN NEW YORK?

John K. Matsumoto, 11 J. Contemp. Legal Issues 202 (2000), Part Four: Getting Divorced WHY NO RIGHT TO JURY TRIAL IN MARITAL DISSOLUTION ACTIONS?

David P. Rowe, 8 U. Miami Int'l & Comp. L. Rev. 115 (1999-2000), TRIAL BY JURY: RIGHT OR PRIVILEGE?