

Punitive Damages

Michael P. Allen, 63 N.Y.U. Ann. Surv. Am. L. 343 (2008), Article OF REMEDIES, JURIES, AND STATE REGULATION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES: THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Neil Vidmar and Matthew W. Wolfe, 2 Charleston L. Rev. 307 (2008), Symposium Edition FAIRNESS THROUGH GUIDANCE: JURY INSTRUCTION ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES AFTER PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Shiela B. Scheuerman and Anthony J. Franze, 10 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 1147 (2008), Article INSTRUCTING JURIES ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES: DUE PROCESS REVISITED AFTER PHILIP MORRIS v. WILLIAMS

Stephen J. Shapiro, 38 U. Balt. L.F. 27 (2007), Article PUNITIVE DAMAGES IN MARYLAND: RECONCILING FEDERAL LAW, STATE LAW, AND THE PATTERN JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Paige Nelson, 92 Iowa L. Rev. 677 (2007) Note LET THE PEOPLE SPEAK: TERRORISM, THE ABOTION DEBATE, AND REDUCTION OF THE JURY AWARD IN PLANNED PARENTHOOD OF COLUMBIA/WILLAMETTE, INC. V. AMERICAN COALITION OF LIFE ACTIVISTS

Nathan Seth Chapman, 56 Duke L.J. 1119 (2007), Notes PUNISHMENT BY THE PEOPLE: RETHINKING THE JURY'S POLITICAL ROLE IN ASSIGNING PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Stephanie Francis Ward, 6 A.B.A. J. E-Report 1 (February 23, 2007), The National Pulse LAWYERS PUZZLE OVER DAMAGE AWARD CASE High Court Ruling may set the Stage for Wrangling over Jury Instructions

Paul J. Zwier, 54 U. Kan. L. Rev. 403 (2006), Article THE UTILITY OF A NONCONSEQUENTIALIST RATIONALE FOR CIVIL-JURY-AWARDED PUNITIVE DAMAGES

Andrew C. Jayne, 57 Okla. L. Rev. 873 (2004), Recent Developments in Oklahoma Law THE IMPACT OF RECENT U.S. SUPREME COURT PUNITIVE DAMAGES JURISPRUDENCE ON OKLAHOMA'S PUNITIVE DAMAGES STATUTE AND JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Patricia F. Miller, 37 St. Mary's L.J. 515 (2006), Comment 2003 TEXAS HOUSE BILL 4: UNANIMOUS EXEMPLARY DAMAGE AWARDS AND TEXAS CIVIL JURY INSTRUCTIONS

Jeffrey R. White, 5 J. High Tech. L. 79 (2005), STATE FARM AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES: CALL THE JURY BACK

Stacey Obrecht, 5 Wyo. L. Rev. 637 (2005), General Law Division TORT LAW—PUNITIVE DAMAGE DETERMINATIONS: A JURY'S FACTUAL INQUIRY OR A COURT'S MATHEMATICAL LEASH, STATE FARM MUT. AUTO. INS. CO. v. CAMPBELL, 538 U.S. 408 (2003)

John Gibeaut, 90 ABA Journal 44, (June 2004), PUNITIVE PRECISION

Pamela S. Karlan, 88 Minn. L. Rev. 880 (2004), PRICKING THE LINES: THE DUE PROCESS CLAUSE, PUNITIVE DAMAGES, AND CRIMINAL PUNISHMENT

Anthony J. Franze & Sheila B. Scheuerman, 6 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 423 (2004), INSTRUCTING JURIES ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES: DUE PROCESS REVISITED AFTER STATE FARM

Martin H. Redish & Andrew L. Mathews, 53 Emory L. J. 1 (2004), WHY PUNITIVE DAMAGES ARE UNCONSTITUTIONAL

Steven L Chanenson & John Y. Gotanda, 37 U. Mich. J. L. Reform 441 (2004), THE FOGGY ROAD FOR EVALUATING PUNITIVE DAMAGES: LIFTING THE HAZE FROM BMW/STATE FARM GUIDEPOSTS

Laura J. Hines, 37 Akron L. Rev. 779 (2004), DUE PROCESS LIMITATIONS ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES: WHY STATE FARM WON'T LAST

Stacy A. Hickox, 54 Mercer L. Rev. 1081 (2003), Articles REDUCTION OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES FOR EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION: ARE COURTS IGNORING OUR JURIES?

Reid Hastie, 51 DePaul L. Rev. 987 (2002), Article JURIES, HINDSIGHT, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES AWARDS: REPLY TO RICHARD LEMPET

Theodore Eisenberg, Neil LaFountain, Brian Ostrom, David Rottman and Martin T. Wells, 87 Cornell L. Rev. 743 (2002), Article JURIES, JUDGES, AND PUNITIVE DAMAGES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

W. Kip Viscusi, 39 Harv. J. on Legis. 139 (2002), Symposium: Reforming Punitive Damages PUNITIVE DAMAGES: HOW JURORS FAIL TO PROMOTE EFFICIENCY

Lisa Litwiller, 36 U.S.F. L. Rev. 411 (2002), Article HAS THE SUPREME COURT SOUNDED THE DEATH KNEEL FOR JURY ASSESSED PUNITIVE DAMAGES? A CRITICAL RE-EXAMINATION OF THE AMERICAN JURY

Neil Vidmar and Mary R. Rose, 38 Harv. J. on Legis. 487 (2001), Symposium: Reforming Punitive Damages PUNITIVE DAMAGES BY JURIES IN FLORIDA: IN TERROR AND IN REALITY

Thomas Scarlett, 37 Trial 12 (July 2001), News & Trend OVERSHADOWING THE JURY? HIGH COURT REQUIRES GREATER SCRUTINY OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES

David M. Gold, 31 Cumb. L. Rev. 287 (2001), Article TRIAL BY JURY AND STATUTORY CAPS ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES: LESSONS FOR ALABAMA FROM OHIO'S CONSTITUTIONAL HISTORY

Robert J. MacCoun, 52 Stan. L. Rev. 1821 (2000), Comment THE COSTS AND BENEFITS OF LETTING JURIES PUNISH CORPORATIONS: COMMENT ON VISCUSI