

Decision Making by Juries

Cassandra Burke Robertson, 83 Tul. L. Rev. 157 (2008), Article JUDGING JURY VERDICTS

Carol L. Bauss, 50 Orange County Law. 42 (September 2008), Feature GENERATION X AND Y'S INFLUENCE IN THE JURY BOX

Joel D. Lieberman, et al., 14 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 27 (2008), Article GOLD versus PLATINUM: DO JURORS RECOGNIZE THE SUPERIORITY AND LIMITATIONS OF DNA EVIDENCE COMPARED TO OTHER TYPES OF FORENSIC EVIDENCE?

Stephen M. Masterson, 27 Trial Advoc. Q. 7 (Summer 2008), "WITHOUT MEAT, DRINK, FIRE OF CANDLE": WHAT ARE THE LIMITS ON VOIR DIRE AND JURY DELIBERATIONS?

Lorraine Hope, et al., 32 Law & Hum. Behav. 241 (2008), Original Article A THIRD VERDICT OPTION: EXPLORING THE IMPACT OF THE NOT PROVEN VERDICT ON MOCK JUROR DECISION MAKING

Deah S. Lawson, et al., 32 Law & Hum. Behav. 137 (2008), Original Article THE EFFECTS OF ACCOMPLICE WITNESSES AND JAILHOUSE INFORMANTS ON JURY DECISION MAKING

Wendy Brickell, 23 Crim. Just. 10 (Summer 2008), Feature IS IT THE CSI EFFECT OR DO WE JUST DISTRUST JURIES?

David Ball, 44 Trial 38 (March 2008), Motivating Jurors MAKING PREPONDERANCE WORK Almost All Jurors Expect You to Prove your Case Beyond a Reasonable Doubt, and You Won't Change Their Minds by Explaining Preponderance. But if You Make Preponderance a Working Theme Throughout Trial, Jurors will Make Preponderance-Based Decisions About Liability

Elizabeth Aileen Smith, 26 Law & Ineq. 203 (2008), Article DID THEY FORGET TO ZERO THE SCALES?: TO EASE JURY DELIBERATIONS, THE SUPREME COURT CUTS PROTECTION FOR THE MENTALLY ILL IN CLARK v. ARIZONA

Brian H. Bornstein, Douglas J. Sickafoose, and Sid O'Bryant, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 109 (2008), Contribute Article INTUITIONS ABOUT AROUSAL AND EYEWITNESS MEMORY: EFFECTS ON MOCK JURORS' JUDGMENTS

Megan Faulkner, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 163 (2008), Student Article RATIONAL JURY ASSESSMENT OF DAMAGES THROUGH NEUROECONOMICS

Shari Seidman Diamond, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 47 (2007), Symposium HOW JURORS DEAL WITH EXPERT TESTIMONY AND HOW JUDGES CAN HELP

Valerie P. Hans, 16 J.L. & Pol'y 19 (2007), Symposium JUDGES, JURIES, AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE

Bradley J.B. Toben, Larry Lyon, James M. Underwood, William D. Underwood, and James E. Wren, 70 Tex. B.J. 958 (2007), Feature TRIAL JUDGES PRONOUNCE THEIR VERDICT ON TEXAS JURIES AND CASES

George C. Thomas, III, 55 Buff. L. Rev. 947 (2007), Essay BIGOTRY, JURY FAILURES, AND THE SUPREME COURTS FEEBLE RESPONSE

Valerie P. Hans, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 579 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men DELIBERATION AND DISSENT : 12 ANGRY MEN VERSUS THE EMPIRICAL REALITY OF JURIES

Jeffrey Abramson, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 591 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men ANGER AT ANGRY JURORS

Robert P. Burns, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 643 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men A JURY BETWEEN FACT AND NORM

Charles D. Weisselberg, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 717 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men
GOOD FILM, BAD JURY

David Ray Papke, 82 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 735 (2007), Symposium: The 50th Anniversary of 12 Angry Men
12 ANGRY MEN IS NOT AN ARCHETYPE: REFLECTIONS ON THE JURY IN CONTEMPORARY POPULAR
CULTURE

Thomas S. Leatherbury, 25 Comm. Law. 36 (Spring 2007), Practice Pointers MAKE IT RELEVANT AND SOLICIT
JUROR COMMENTS

Robert P. Lawry, 112 Penn St. L. Rev. 137 (2007), Article THE MORAL OBLIGATION OF THE JUROR TO THE
LAW

Henry F. Fradella and Kegan Brown, 31 Law & Psychol. Rev. 1 (2007), Contributed Articles THE EFFECTS OF
USING SOCIAL SCIENTIFIC RAPE TYPOLOGIES ON JUROR DECISIONS TO CONVICT

Gwen Jenkins and Regina A. Schuller, 31 Law & Hum. Behav. 369 (2007), Original Article THE IMPACT OF
NEGATIVE FORENSIC EVIDENCE ON MOCK JURORS' PERCEPTIONS OF A TRIAL OF DRUG-FACILITATED
SEXUAL ASSAULT

Dean Sanderford, 74 Tenn. L. Rev. 167 (2007), Article THE SIXTH AMENDMENT, RULE 606(B), AND THE
INTRUSION INTO JURY DELIBERATIONS OF RELIGIOUS PRINCIPLES OF DECISION

Simon A. Cole and Rachel Dioso-Villa, 41 New England L. Rev. 435 (2007), Symposium CSI AND ITS EFFECTS:
MEDIA, JURIES, AND THE BURDEN OF PROOF

Saby Ghoshray, 41 New England L. Rev. 533 (2007), Symposium UNTANGLING THE CSI EFFECT IN
CRIMINAL JURISPRUDENCE: CIRCUMSTANTIAL EVIDENCE, REASONABLE DOUBT, AND JURY
MANIPULATION

James B. Johnston, 41 New England L. Rev. 563 (2007), Symposium PROSECUTING GOVERNMENT FRAUD
DESPITE THE "CSI EFFECT": GETTING THE JURY TO FOLLOW THE MONEY

Thomas A. Eaton, 41 Ga. L. Rev. 431 (2007), Articles OF FRIVOLOUS LITIGATION AND RUNAWAY JURIES: A
VIEW FROM THE BENCH

Jason D. Reichelt, 40 U. Mich. J.L. Reform 569 (2007), Article STANDING ALONE: CONFORMITY, COERCION,
AND THE PROTECTION OF THE HOLDOUT JUROR

Phillip K. Anthony, 26 Of Counsel 5 (February 2007), From the Editor COMPLEX INSURANCE CASES PROVIDE
SIGNIFICANT LESSONS ON HOW JURIES MAKE DECISIONS

Melanie Gart, 65 Md. L. Rev. 1018 (2006), The Maryland Survey: 2004-2005 A. PERMITTING
UNSUBSTANTIATED OWN-RACE ARGUMENTS IN SUMMATION INVITES JUROR CONFUSION AND
IRRELEVANT RACIAL CONSIDERATIONS INTO CRIMINAL TRIALS

Robert F. Holland, 97 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 101 (2006), IMPROVING CRIMINAL JURY VERDICTS:
LEARNING FROM THE COURT-MARTIAL

Robert A. Gallagher, 44 Duq. L. Rev. 575 (2006), Recent Decisions PENNSYLVANIA'S EXCEPTION TO THE
NO-IMPEACHMENT RULE REGARDING JURY DELIBERATIONS AFFECTED BY EXTRANEIOUS
INFORMATION: PRATT V. ST. CHRISTOPHER'S HOSPITAL

Young S. Kim and Gregg Barak, 9 Vand. J. Ent. & Tech. L. 331 (2006), Article A STUDY OF JUROR
EXPECTATIONS AND DEMANDS CONCERNING SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE: DOES THE "CSI EFFECT" EXIST?

Michael Mann, 24 Buff. Pub. Int. L.J. 211 (2005-2006), Article THE "CSI EFFECT": BETTER JURORS
THROUGH TELEVISION AND SCIENCE?

Terry Carter, 6 A.B.A. J. E-Report 4 (February 16, 2007), The National Pulse A PEEK INTO THE JURY ROOM Study Shows How Jurors Use Questions and Judge Lawyers

William J. Bowers, Wanda D. Foglia, Jean E. Giles, and Michael E. Antonio, 63 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 931 (2006), Article THE DECISION MAKER MATTERS: AN EMPIRICAL EXAMINATION OF THE WAY THE ROLE OF THE JUDGE AND THE JURY INFLUENCE DEATH PENALTY DECISION-MAKING

Mustafa El-Farra, 4 Hastings Race & Poverty L.J. 219 (2006), Note RACE AND THE JURY: RACIAL INFLUENCES ON JURY DECISION-MAKING IN DEATH PENALTY CASES

Frederick Schauer, 155 U. Pa. L. Rev. 165 (2006), Articles ON THE SUPPOSED JURY-DEPENDENCE OF EVIDENCE LAW

Shari Seidman Diamond, 54 Buff. L. Rev. 717 (2006), Essays BEYOND FANTASY AND NIGHTMARE: A PORTRAIT OF THE JURY

Ashlee Smith, 35 U. Balt. L. Rev. 395 (2006), Comment VICE-A-VERDICT: LEGALLY INCONSISTENT JURY VERDICTS SHOULD NOT STAND IN MARYLAND

Lawrence Perrone, 7 Fla. Coastal L. Rev. 761 (2006), Casenote JUDICIAL TAKEOVER: SHOULD JURIES BE REQUIRED TO ACCEPT AS TRUE THE UNCONTRADICTED AND UNIMPEACHED TESTIMONY OF AN INTERESTED PARTY OR WITNESS?

Sonja Starr, 2006 U. Ill. L. Rev. 301 (2006), Article IMPROVING CRIMINAL JURY DECISION MAKING AFTER THE BLAKELY REVOLUTION

Warren Moise, 17 S.C. Law. 10 (November 2005), Column JURY RESEARCHERS: WHAT THEY BELIEVE MAY SURPRISE YOU!

Ted A. Donner, Bridget Longoria, 18 D.C.B.A. Brief 8 (June 2006), Lead Article WHY THE RYAN TRIAL IS FAR FROM OVER: HOW REMOVAL OF TWO JURORS DURING DELIBERATIONS COULD LEAD TO A REVERSAL

Ric Simmons, 74 U. Cin. L. Rev. 1013 (2006), Article CONQUERING THE PROVINCE OF THE JURY: EXPERT TESTIMONY AND THE PROFESSIONALIZATION OF FACT-FINDING

Dawn Broschard, 10 Lewis & Clark L. Rev. 237 (2006), Article THE SILENT CRIMINAL DEFENDANT AND THE PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE: IN THE HANDS OF REAL JURORS, IS EITHER OF THEM SAFE?

Walter Olson, 23 Del. Law. 24 (Fall 2005), Feature THE ART OF THE RUNAWAY JURY

Donald P. Blydenburgh, 73 Def. Couns. J. 46 (2006), Feature Article ANALYZING INCONSISTENT VERDICTS IN PRODUCTS LIABILITY CASES How the Law Promotes Them, Why Juries Render Them, and Why Some Courts Permit Them

Shari Seidman Diamond, Mary R. Rose, and Beth Murphy, 100 Nw. U. L. Rev. 201 (2006), Symposium REVISITING THE UNANIMITY REQUIREMENT: THE BEHAVIOR OF THE NON-UNANIMOUS CIVIL JURY

Lucy Fowler, 12 Wm. & Mary J. Women & L. 1 (2005), Article GENDER AND JURY DELIBERATIONS: THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF SOCIAL SCIENCE

Ezra Friedman and Abraham L. Wickelgren, 22 J.L. Econ. & Org. 70 (2006), BAYESIAN JURIES AND THE LIMITS TO DETERRENCE

J.J. Prescott and Sonja Starr, 2006 U. Ill. L. Rev. 301 (2006), Article IMPROVING CRIMINAL JURY DECISION MAKING AFTER THE BLAKELY REVOLUTION

Richard C. Waites and David A. Giles, 29 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 19 (2005), Article ARE JURORS EQUIPPED TO DECIDE THE OUTCOME OF COMPLEX CASES?

Warren R. Schwartz, 34 Hofstra L. Rev. 345 (2005), Ideas THE POSITIVE AND NORMATIVE PUZZLE OF DECISION RULES FOR JURIES: THE EXAMPLE OF DECISION RULES FOR CIVIL LITIGATION IN STATE COURTS

Hon. Ron Spears, 93 Ill. B.J. 260 (May 2005), Column GIVING JURORS WHAT THEY WANT Jurors Want to Do a Good Job. Let's Not Make That Harder than Necessary

Justin D. Levinson, 73 U. Cin. L. Rev. 1059 (2005), Articles SUPPRESSING THE EXPRESSION OF COMMUNITY VALUES IN JURIES: HOW "LEGAL PRIMING" SYSTEMATICALLY ALTERS THE WAY PEOPLE THINK

Dale A. Nance, Scott B. Morris, 34 J. Legal Stud. 395 (2005), JUROR UNDERSTANDING OF DNA EVIDENCE: AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT OF PRESENTATION FORMATS FOR TRACE EVIDENCE WITH A RELATIVELY SMALL RANDOM-MATCH PROBABILITY

Andrew Horwitz, 54 Cath. U. L. Rev. 829 (2005), Article MIXED SIGNALS AND SUBTLE CUES: JURY INDEPENDENCE AND JUDICIAL APPOINTMENT OF THE JURY FOREPERSON

Bill Haltom, 41 Tenn. B.J. 3 (Nov. 2005), Department THE WISDOM OF CROWDS: WHY JURIES ARE SMARTER THAN JUDGES

Juan Castañeda, 72 Def. Couns. J. 387 (2005), Feature Article THE JURY'S DILEMMA: PLAYING GOD IN THE SEARCH FOR JUSTICE Solving the Problems Lurking in America's Courtrooms

Gerl L. Dreiling, 4 No. 19 A.B.A. J. E-Report 5 (May 13, 2005), The National Pulse JUROR QUESTIONING IS THE QUESTION Two Colorado Appeals Object to Queries from Juries in Criminal Trials.

118 Harv. L. Rev. 2445 (2005), Recent Cases CRIMINAL PROCEDURE—JURY TAMPERING—NINTH CIRCUIT HOLDS THAT GLARING BY GOVERNMENT AGENTS MAY TRIGGER PRESUMPTION OF PREJUDICE. UNITED STATES V. RUTHERFORD, 371 F.3D 634 (9TH CIR. 2004).

Scott R. Ediger, 74 J. Kan. B. Assoc. 28 (May 2005), Legal Article ELECT OR INSTRUCT: PREVENTING EVIDENCE OF MULTIPLE ACTS FROM THREATENING JUROR UNANIMITY IN CRIMINAL TRIALS.

Bennett L. Gershman, 44 Washburn L.J. 327 (2005), Article HOW JURIES GET IT WRONG—ANATOMY OF THE DETROIT TERROR CASE.

Jerry Buchmeyer, U.S. District Judge, 68 Tex. B.J. 279 (Mar. 2005), Department THE NOTE FROM JUROR NO. 5.

Daniel R. Cahoy, Min Ding, 14 S. Cal. Interdisc. L.J. 31 (2004), Article USING EXPERIMENTAL ECONOMICS TO PEEK INTO THE "BLACK BOX" OF JURY BEHAVIOR: A PROPOSAL FOR JURY RESEARCH REFORM.

Carmel Sileo, 41 Trial 74 (March 2005), News and Trend JURORS' DISCUSSION OF PERSONAL EXPERTISE NOT PREJUDICIAL, COURT HOLDS

Stephen P. Garvey, et al., 1 J. Empirical Legal Stud. 371 (2004), JUROR FIRST VOTES IN CRIMINAL TRIALS

Mary Stewart Nelson, 28 L. & Psych. Rev. 177 (2004), Student Article, THE EFFECT OF ATTORNEY GENDER ON JURY PERCEPTION AND DECISION-MAKING

Quentin Brogdon, 39 Trial 52 (Dec. 2003), Feature WHY DO JURORS BLAME THE VICTIM?

Andy Grieser, 2 A.B.A. J. E-Report (Oct. 3, 2003), Ideas From The Front VIEW TO A KILL What Happens When the Jury Takes a Field Trip?

Samuel H. Solomon, 4 Sedona Conf. J. 175 (2003), HOW JURORS MAKE DECISIONS: A PRACTICAL & SYSTEMATIC APPROACH TO UNDERSTANDING JURY BEHAVIOR

Marisa E. Collett and Margaret Bull Kovera, 27 L. & Hum. Beh. 403 (2003), THE EFFECTS OF BRITISH AND AMERICAN TRIAL PROCEDURES ON THE QUALITY OF JUROR DECISION-MAKING.

Sanja Kutnjak Ivkovic and Valerie P. Hans, 28 L. & Soc. Inquiry 441 (2003), JURORS' EVALUATIONS OF EXPERT TESTIMONY: JUDGING THE MESSENGER AND THE MESSAGE.

Jill E. Huntley and Mark Costanzo, 27 L. & Hum. Beh. 29 (2003), SEXUAL HARASSMENT STORIES: TESTING A STORY-MEDIATED MODEL OF JUROR DECISION-MAKING IN CIVIL LITIGATION.

Roi Holt, Janvier Slick and Amy Rayborn. Or. St. B. Bull. 17 (July 2003) Feature UNDERSTANDING JURORS Jury Debriefing in Yamhill County

Terry Carter, A.B.A. J. E-Report 5 (May 16, 2003), Ideas From the Front CLOTHES MAKE THE DEFENDANT

John F. Decker, 34 Loy. U. Chi. L.J. 569 (2003), Illinois Judicial Conference Symposium POST-INSTRUCTION ISSUES: JURY DELIBERATIONS AND VERDICT

Lawrence M. Solan, 78 Chi.-Kent L. Rev. 1281 (2003), Symposium: The Jury at a Crossroad: The American Experience JURORS AS STATUTORY INTERPRETERS

David A. Hoffman, 2003 U. Ill. L. Rev. 507 (2003), Book Review Essay HOW RELEVANT IS JURY RATIONALITY?

Angela J. Davis, 25 L.A. Law. 77 (Nov. 2002), Department A TRIAL BY JURY An Ivy League Scholar Provides an Uncompromising Look at the inside of a Jury Room

Bill Haltom, 38 Tenn. B.J. 37 (Nov. 2002), Department WE THE JURY UNANIMOUSLY FIND ... THAT THE LAW IS REALLY STUPID!

David S. Davis, 32 Brief 62 (Fall 2002), Practice Tips WHAT ARE JURORS THINKING?

Roger Giner-Sorolla, Shelly Chaiken and Stacey Lutz, 26 L. & Hum. Beh. 507 (2002), VALIDITY BELIEFS AND IDEOLOGY CAN INFLUENCE LEGAL CASE JUDGMENTS DIFFERENTLY.

Neil Brewer and Anne Burke. 26 L. & Hum. Beh. 353 (2002), EFFECTS OF TESTIMONIAL INCONSISTENCIES AND EYEWITNESS CONFIDENCE ON MOCK-JUROR JUDGMENTS.

Jack Rains, 65 Tex. B.J. 524 (2002), Article FIRST-PERSON ACCOUNT: THE JURY

Kimberlianne Podlas, 25 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 557 (2002), Article BLAME JUDGE JUDY: THE EFFECTS OF SYNDICATED TELEVISION COURTROOMS ON JURORS

Stacy Caplow, 67 Brook. L. Rev. 785 (2002), Essay THE IMPOSSIBLE DREAM COMES TRUE--A CRIMINAL LAW PROFESSOR BECOMES JUROR # 7

Michael E. Cobo, 21 SPG Franchise L.J. 182 (2002), HOW POTENTIAL JURORS VIEW FRANCHISING

David E. Rovella, 24 Nat'l. L. J., (Feb 25, 2002), JURY SERVICE LEADS TO FAIRNESS; EXPERIENCE SEEMS TO MAKE PEOPLE SEE BOTH SIDES OF ISSUES.

Gregory M. Ashley, 55 Vand. L. Rev. 127 (2002), Notes THEOLOGY IN THE JURY ROOM: RELIGIOUS DISCUSSION AS "EXTRANEIOUS MATERIAL" IN THE COURSE OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT DELIBERATIONS

Margaret Bull Kovera, 26 L. & Hum. Beh. 43 (2002), THE EFFECTS OF GENERAL PRETRIAL PUBLICITY ON JUROR DECISIONS: AN EXAMINATION OF MODERATORS AND MEDIATING MECHANISMS.

Kimberly A. Moore, 11 Fed. Circuit B.J. 209 (2001-2002), JUDGES, JURIES, AND PATENT CASES -- AN EMPIRICAL PEEK INSIDE THE BLACK BOX

Shari Seidman Diamond and Neil Vidmar, 87 Va. L. Rev. 1857 (2001), Symposium JURY ROOM RUMINATIONS ON FORBIDDEN TOPICS

Barbara A. Spellman, 64 Law & Contemp. Probs. 241 (Autumn 2001), Article THE RELATION BETWEEN COUNTERFACTUAL "BUT FOR" AND CAUSAL REASONING: EXPERIMENTAL FINDINGS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR JURORS' DECISIONS

Dennis J. Devine, Laura D. Clayton, Benjamin B. Dunford, Rasmy Seying and Jennifer Pryce, 7 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 622 (2001), Article JURY DECISION MAKING 45 Years of Empirical Research on Deliberating Groups

Richard Pozzuto, 25 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 135 (2001), Commentary FOR JURIES, DOES TRUTH REQUIRE FACTS?

Reid Hastie, 66 Brook. L. Rev. 991 (2001), The Jury in the Twenty-First Century: An Interdisciplinary Conference EMOTIONS IN JURORS' DECISIONS

Neil Vidmar and Shari Seidman Diamond, 66 Brook. L. Rev. 1121 (2001), The Jury in the Twenty-First Century: An Interdisciplinary Conference JURIES AND EXPERT EVIDENCE

Regina A. Schuller, 25 Law & Psychol. Rev. 59 (2001), Contributed Article THE IMPACT OF AN EXPERT'S GENDER ON JURORS' DECISIONS

Kimberly A. Moore, 99 Mich. L. Rev. 365 (2000), Article JUDGES, JURIES, AND PATENT CASES--AN EMPIRICAL PEEK INSIDE THE BLACK BOX

Joseph W. Rand, 33 Conn. L. Rev. 1 (2000), Article THE Demeanor GAP: RACE, LIE DETECTION, AND THE JURY

Nicole L. Mott, Valerie P. Hans and Lindsay Simpson, 24 L. & Hum. Beh. 401 (2000), WHAT'S HALF A LUNG WORTH? CIVIL JURORS' ACCOUNTS OF THEIR AWARD DECISION MAKING.

John L. Breeden, Jr. and William A. Bryan, Jr., 12 S.C. Law. 18 (Oct. 2000), Features IMPROVING JURY DELIBERATIONS: PERSPECTIVES FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT BENCH

Joel Cooper and Isaac M. Neuhaus, 24 L. & Hum. Beh. 149 (2000), THE 'HIRED GUN' EFFECT: ASSESSING THE EFFECT OF PAY, FREQUENCY OF TESTIFYING, AND CREDENTIALS ON THE PERCEPTION OF EXPERT TESTIMONY.

David K. Marcus, Phillip M. Lyons Jr. and Michelle R. Guyton. 24 L. & Hum. Beh. 173 (2000), STUDYING PERCEPTIONS OF JUROR INFLUENCE IN VIVO: A SOCIAL RELATIONS ANALYSIS.

David E. Rovella, 23 Nat'l. L. J. (Dec 11, 2000), SCANDALS DAMAGE COP CREDIBILITY; JURORS SAY BRUTALITY, CORRUPTION MAKE POLICE LESS BELIEVABLE.

Lynne Forster-lee, Irwin Horowitz, Elizabeth Athaide-Victor and Nicole Brown, 24 L. & Hum. Beh. 259 (2000), THE BOTTOM LINE: THE EFFECT OF WRITTEN EXPERT WITNESS STATEMENTS ON JUROR VERDICTS AND INFORMATION PROCESSING.

Kim Taylor-Thompson, 113 Harv. L. Rev. 1261 (2000), Article EMPTY VOTES IN JURY DELIBERATIONS

Edward P. Schwartz and Warren F. Schwartz, 9 S. Cal. Interdisc. L.J. 429 (2000), Article AND SO SAY SOME OF US . . .WHAT TO DO WHEN JURORS DISAGREE

Sandra Benlevy, 9 S. Cal. Rev. L. & Women's Stud. 445 (2000), Article VENUS AND MARS IN THE JURY DELIBERATION ROOM: EXPLORING THE DIFFERENCES THAT EXIST AMONG MALE AND FEMALE JURORS DURING THE DELIBERATION PROCESS

Paula L. Hannaford, Valerie P. Hans, Nicole L. Mott, and G. Thomas Munsterman, 67 Tenn. L. Rev. 627 (2000), Symposium: Communicating with Juries THE TIMING OF OPINION FORMATION BY JURORS IN CIVIL CASES:

AN EMPIRICAL EXAMINATION

Joy L. Lindo, 30 Seton Hall L. Rev. 1224 (2000), Note NEW JERSEY JURORS ARE NO LONGER COLOR-BLIND REGARDING EYEWITNESS IDENTIFICATION