

## Capital Juries

William Lyons, John M. Scheb, and Kristin A. Wagers, 29 Just. Sys. J. 338 (2008), Lawyer RACE, PROSECUTORS, AND JURIES: THE DEATH PENALTY IN TENNESSEE

Marla Sandys and Adam Trahan, 29 Just. Sys. J. 385 (2008), Juror LIFE QUALIFICATION, AUTOMATIC DEATH PENALTY VOTER STATUS, AND JUROR DECISION MAKING IN CAPITAL CASES

Michael E. Antonio, 29 Just. Sys. J. 396 (2008), Juror STRESS AND THE CAPITAL JURY: HOW MALE AND FEMALE JURORS REACT TO SERVING ON A MURDER TRIAL

Bruce T. Cunningham, Heather L. Rattelade, and Amanda Zimmer, 30 N.C. Cent. L. Rev. 107 (2008), Article RING V. ARIZONA AND CAPITAL PROCEEDINGS: BRAVE3 NEW WORLD OR A REVERSION TO THE OLD WORLD?

Heather Pruss, Maria Sandys, and Adam Trahan, 57 DePaul L. Rev. 679 (2008), Symposium Protecting a National Moral Consensus: Challenges in the Application of Atkins v. Virginia TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE "DIMINISHED CAPACITIES OF THE RETARDED": ARE CAPITAL JURORS UP TO THE TASK?

Andrea D. Lyon, 57 DePaul L. Rev. 701 (2008), Symposium Protecting a National Moral Consensus: Challenges in the Application of Atkins v. Virginia BUT HE DOESN'T LOOK RETARDED: CAPITAL JURY SELECTION FOR THE MENTALLY RETARDED CLIENT NOT EXCLUDED AFTER ATKINS V. VIRGINIA

John H. Blume, Sheri Lynn Johnson, and Scott E. Sundby, 36 Hofstra L. Rev. 1035 (2008), Article COMPETENT CAPITAL REPRESENTATION: THE NECESSITY OF KNOWING AND HEEDING WHAT JURORS TELL US ABOUT MITIGATION

Thomas W. Brewer and H. Mitchell Caldwell, 51 How. L.J. 193 (2008), Article DEATH WITHOUT DUE CONSIDERATION?: OVERCOMING BARRIERS TO MITIGATION EVIDENCE BY "WARMING" CAPITAL JURORS TO THE ACCUSED

Benjamin T. Kurtz, 2008 U. Ill. L. Rev. 1071 (2008), Note SUPREME COURT UPHOLDS A MANDATE OF DEATH WHEN THE JURY IS IN EQUIPOISE: CHALLENGED UNDER THE APPRENDI INTERPRETATION OF THE SIXTH AMENDMENT

Jeffrey L. Kirchmeier, 32 Law & Psychol. Rev. 55 (2008), Contributed Article OUR EXISTENTIAL DEATH PENALTY : JUDGES, JURORS, AND TERROR MANAGEMENT

Michael Antonio Brockland, 27 St. Louis U. Pub. L. Rev. 235 (2007), Comment SEE NO EVIL, HEAR NO EVIL, SPEAK NO EVIL: AN ARGUMENT FOR A JURY DETERMINATION OF THE ENMUND/TISON CULPABILITY FACTORS IN CAPITAL FELONY MURDER CASES

Susan Heylman, 43 Trial 75 (Dec. 2007), News and Trends NINTH CIRCUIT OKS JURY'S USE OF THE BIBLE IN DEATH PENALTY DELIBERATIONS

Craig M. Bradley, 43 Trial 60 (August 2007). Department THE "WELL-QUALIFIED" JUROR

Ryan J. Miller, 45 Duq. L. Rev. 343 (2007), Recent Decisions A DEATH SENTENCE MAY BE CONSTITUTIONAL DESPITE A DETERMINATION THAT TWO OF FOUR SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES FOUND BY THE JURY WERE INVALID : BROWN V. SANDERS

Stephanie Francis Ward, 6 A.B.A. J. E-Report 1 (April 27, 2007), The National Pulse HIGH COURT MESSES WITH TEXAS . . . AGAIN Justices send back three capital cases, rip jury instructions

Adam M. Clark, 24 Buff. Pub. Int. L.J. 1 (2005-2006), Article AN INVESTIGATION OF DEATH QUALIFICATION AS A VIOLATION OF THE RIGHTS OF JURORS

William J. Bowers, Wanda D. Foglia, Jean E. Giles, and Michael E. Antonio, 63 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. 931 (2006), Article THE DECISION MAKER MATTERS: AN EMPIRICAL EXAMINATION OF THE WAY THE ROLE OF THE JUDGE AND THE JURY INFLUENCE DEATH PENALTY DECISION-MAKING

Mustafa El-Farra, 4 Hastings Race & Poverty L.J. 219 (2006), Note RACE AND THE JURY: RACIAL INFLUENCES ON JURY DECISION-MAKING IN DEATH PENALTY CASES

Susan D. Rozelle, 38 Ariz. St. L.J. 769 (2006), Article THE PRINCIPLED EXECUTIONER: CAPITAL JURIES' BIAS AND THE BENEFITS OF TRUE BIFURCATION

19 Fed. Sent. R. 13 (2006), PSYCHOLOGY WEIGHS IN ON THE DEBATE SURROUNDING VICTIM IMPACT STATEMENTS AND CAPITAL SENTENCING: ARE EMOTIONAL JURORS REALLY IRRATIONAL?

Benjamin V. Madison, III, 17 U. Fla. J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 347 (2006), Article TRIAL BY JURY OR BY MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR ACCUSED TERRORIST DETAINEES FACING THE DEATH PENALTY? AN EXAMINATION OF PRINCIPLES THAT TRANSCEND THE U.S. CONSTITUTION

Wanda D. Foglia and William J. Bowers, 42 Crim. Law Bulletin 1 (2006), SHARED SENTENCING RESPONSIBILITY: HOW HYBRID STATUTES EXACERBATE THE SHORTCOMINGS OF CAPITAL JURY DECISION-MAKING

Gerald F. Uelmen, 44 J. Cath. Legal Stud. 355 (2005), Symposium: Catholics and the Death Penalty: Lawyers, Jurors & Judges CATHOLIC JURORS AND THE DEATH PENALTY

Cory Spiller, 83 Denv. U. L. Rev. 613 (2005), Colorado Supreme Court Survey PEOPLE V. HARLAN: THE COLORADO SUPREME COURT TAKES A STEP TOWARD ELIMINATING RELIGIOUS INFLUENCE ON JURIES

30 Champ. 7 (Apr. 2006), Column CAPITAL JURY ANALYSIS DATABASE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM NEARLY FINISHED

Richard Salgado, 2005 B.Y.U. L. Rev. 519, Note TRIBUNALS ORGANIZED TO CONVICT: SEARCHING FOR A LESSER EVIL IN THE CAPITAL JUROR DEATH-QUALIFICATION PROCESS IN UNITED STATES V. GREEN

Janet C. Hoeffel, 46 B.C. L. Rev. 771 (2005), Article RISKING THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT: ARBITRARINESS, JURIES, AND DISCRETION IN CAPITAL CASES

80 Ind. L.J. 47 (Winter 2005), Symposium PANEL TWO—THE CAPITAL JURY.

Jonathon Poole, 21 Ga. St. U. L. Rev. 36 (2004), Court JURIES: PROVIDE THE STATE AND THE ACCUSED WITH THE SAME NUMBER OF PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN MISDEMEANOR, FELONY, AND DEATH PENALTY CASES AND IN CHALLENGING ALTERNATIVE JURORS; PROVIDE THE MANNER IN WHICH PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES ARE MADE; CHANGE THE SIZE OF THE JURY PANEL IN FELONY AND DEATH PENALTY CASES; PROVIDE THE STATE WITH AN EQUAL NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL PEREMPTORY CHALLENGES IN TRIALS FOR JOINTLY INDICTED DEFENDANTS; PROVIDE AN EXEMPTION FROM JURY DUTY FOR A PRIMARY CAREGIVER OF A CHILD WHO IS FOUR YEARS OF AGE OR YOUNGER; PROVIDE AN EXEMPTION FROM JURY DUTY FOR A PRIMARY TEACHER OF CHILDREN IN A HOME STUDY PROGRAM; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

Linda E. Jackson, 43 Brandeis L.J. 79 (2004), Note BE CAREFUL WHAT YOU WISH FOR: THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE FEDERAL DEATH PENALTY ACT AFTER RING V. ARIZONA

Richard L. Wiener, et al., 10 Psychology, Law & Public Policy 516 (2004), GUIDED DISCRETION IN CAPITAL MURDER CASES: THE ROLE OF DECLARATIVE AND PROCEDURAL KNOWLEDGE.

Steven C. Serio, 53 Am. U. L. Rev. 1143 (2004), Comment, A PROCESS RIGHT DUE? EXAMINING WHETHER A CAPITAL DEFENDANT HAS A DUE PROCESS RIGHT TO A JURY SELECTION EXPERT

William J. Bowers, et al., 84 B. U. L. Rev. 609 (2004), TOO YOUNG FOR THE DEATH PENALTY: AN

EMPIRICAL EXAMINATION OF COMMUNITY CONSCIENCE AND THE JUVENILE DEATH PENALTY FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF CAPITAL JURORS

Craig M. Cooley, 28 S. Ill. U. L. J. 273 (2004), FORENSIC INDIVIDUALIZATION SCIENCES AND THE CAPITAL JURY: ARE WITHERSPOON JURORS MORE DEFERENTIAL TO SUSPECT SCIENCE THAN NON-WITHERSPOON JURORS?

Jill M. Cochran, 38 Val. U. L. Rev. 1399 (2004), Note COURTING DEATH: 30 YEARS SINCE FURMAN, IS THE DEATH PENALTY LESS DISCRIMINATORY? LOOKING AT THE PROBLEM OF JURY DISCRETION IN CAPITAL SENTENCING

117 Harv. L. Rev. 1283 (2004), Recent Cases CRIMINAL PROCEDURE -- SIXTH AMENDMENT -- ALABAMA SUPREME COURT UPHOLDS A DEATH SENTENCE IMPOSED BY JUDICIAL OVERRIDE OF A JURY

Craig M. Cooley, 27 S. Ill. U. L.J. 477 (2003), Article FORENSIC INDIVIDUALIZATION SCIENCES AND THE CAPITAL JURY: ARE WITHERSPOON JURORS MORE DEFERENTIAL TO SUSPECT SCIENCE THAN NON-WITHERSPOON JURORS?

Stephen P. Garvey, 44 Wm. & Mary L. Rev. 2063 (2003), Article VIRGINIA'S CAPITAL JURORS

Scott E. Sundby, 88 Cornell L. Rev. 343 (2003), Symposium - Victims and the Death Penalty: Inside and Outside the Courtroom THE CAPITAL JURY AND EMPATHY: THE PROBLEM OF WORTHY AND UNWORTHY VICTIMS

Paul M. Dillbeck, 62 Md. L. Rev. 143 (2003), Note KELLY V. SOUTH CAROLINA: EXTENDING DUE PROCESS CREATES AN UNTENABLE STANDARD FOR DETERMINING WHEN CAPITAL SENTENCING JURIES SHOULD BE INFORMED OF PAROLE INELIGIBILITY

Gregory M. Ashley, 55 Vand. L. Rev. 127 (2002), Notes THEOLOGY IN THE JURY ROOM: RELIGIOUS DISCUSSION AS "EXTRANEIOUS MATERIAL" IN THE COURSE OF CAPITAL PUNISHMENT DELIBERATIONS

Brooke M. Butler and Gary Moran, 26 L. & Hum. Beh. 175 (2002), THE ROLE OF DEATH QUALIFICATION IN VENIREPERSONS' EVALUATIONS OF AGGRAVATING AND MITIGATING CIRCUMSTANCES IN CAPITAL TRIALS.

William Baarsma, 93 J. Crim. L. & Criminology 23 (2002), Supreme Court Review SHAFER V. SOUTH CAROLINA: ANOTHER MISSED OPPORTUNITY TO REMOVE JUROR IGNORANCE AS A FACTOR IN CAPITAL SENTENCING Shafer v. South Carolina, 532 U.S. 36 (2001)

C. Lindsey Morrill, 90 Ky. L. J. 465 (2001-2002), Notes INFORMING CAPITAL JURIES ABOUT PAROLE: THE EFFECT ON LIFE OR DEATH DECISIONS

Richard L. Wiener, 81 Neb. L. Rev. 757 (2002), The Nebraska Death Penalty Study: An Interdisciplinary Symposium DEATH PENALTY RESEARCH IN NEBRASKA: HOW DO JUDGES AND JURIES REACH PENALTY DECISIONS?

Kathleen Dolegowski, 3 Lawyers J. 2 (2001, No. 20), Legal Report HIGH COURT HOLDS EVIDENCE SUFFICIENT AND REJECTS TRIAL ERROR CLAIMS IN CAPITAL CASE Jury Must be Charged to Accept Stipulated-To Mitigating Factor in Penalty Phase

Ursula Bentele, 66 Brook. L. Rev. 1011 (2001), The Jury in the Twenty-First Century: An Interdisciplinary Conference HOW JURORS DECIDE ON DEATH: GUILT IS OVERWHELMING; AGGRAVATION REQUIRES DEATH; AND MITIGATION IS NO EXCUSE

Laurie B. Berberich, 29 Hofstra L. Rev. 1301 (2001), Note JURY INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING DEADLOCK IN CAPITAL SENTENCING

Theodore Eisenberg, Stephen P. Garvey and Martin T. Wells, 30 J. Legal Stud. 277 (2001), FORECASTING LIFE AND DEATH: JUROR RACE, RELIGION, AND ATTITUDE TOWARD THE DEATH PENALTY

Daniel A. Krauss, 7 Psychol. Pub. Pol'y & L. 267 (2001), Article THE EFFECTS OF CLINICAL AND SCIENTIFIC EXPERT TESTIMONY ON JUROR DECISION MAKING IN CAPITAL SENTENCING

Brian Galle, 101 Colum. L. Rev. 569 (2001), Note FREE EXERCISE RIGHTS OF CAPITAL JURORS

William J. Bowers, 3 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 171 (2001), Symposium DEATH SENTENCING IN BLACK AND WHITE: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF JURORS' RACE AND JURY RACIAL COMPOSITION

Samuel R. Gross, 3 U. Pa. J. Const. L. 283 (2001), Symposium RACE, PEREMPTORIES, AND CAPITAL JURY DELIBERATIONS

Theodore Eisenberg, 74 S. Cal. L. Rev. 371 (2001), Article THE DEADLY PARADOX OF CAPITAL JURORS

Carrie A. Dannenfelser, 60 Md. L. Rev. 417 (2001), Note BURCH V. STATE: MAINTAINING THE JURY'S TRADITIONAL ROLE AS THE VOICE OF THE COMMUNITY IN CAPITAL PUNISHMENT CASES

Melissa A. Ray, 13 Cap. Def. J. 85 (2000), Articles "MEANINGFUL GUIDANCE": REFORMING VIRGINIA'S MODEL JURY INSTRUCTIONS ON VILENESS AND FUTURE DANGEROUSNESS

Stephen P. Garvey, 85 Cornell L. Rev. 627 (2000), Article CORRECTING DEADLY CONFUSION: RESPONDING TO JURY INQUIRIES IN CAPITAL CASES

Jose Felipe Anderson, 26 Ohio N. U. L. Rev. 741 (2000), The Twenty-Third Annual Law Review Symposium WHEN THE WALL HAS FALLEN: DECADES OF FAILURE IN THE SUPERVISION OF CAPITAL JURIES